| | Page | 94 | Page 96 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | | • |
| 2 | materiality standards or SEC disclosure | 2 | |
| 3 | standards, it's based in the reasonableness of | | it wasn't significant to the company's |
| 4 | the investigation? | 4 | ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 4 | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 6 | Q. And nothing else? | (| , |
| 7 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 1 1 | |
| 8 | form. | 8 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 9 | The report speaks for itself. I | وا | |
| 10 | don't know if you want to engage in any | 1 | believe that excuses the company from any |
| 11 | dialog on this. | 1 | |
| 12 | In the conclusion section here, | 12 | 2 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 13 | the last section on Page 2, Summary | 1. | form. Outside the scope of the |
| 14 | Number 4, it talks about both the | 14 | opinion. He's not offering any expert |
| 15 | reasonableness of the investigation and | 1: | testimony as to the company's |
| 16 | the reasonableness of the belief. If | 10 | disclosure obligations; he's offering |
| 17 | you want to lump that all into the | 1 | 7 expert testimony with respect to the |
| 18 | reasonableness of the investigation, | 1 | 3 underwriters' investigation and the |
| 19 | that's fine. I just don't want there | 19 | reasonableness of the underwriters' |
| 20 | to be an unclear record; that there's | 20 | beliefs in light of that investigation. |
| 21 | clearly at least two pieces of it in | 2 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 22 | terms of the written opinion. | 22 | Q. Well, let me back up and ask |
| 23 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 2: | |
| 24 | Q. Let me back up a different way. | 24 | |
| 25 | In a case in which a problem | 2: | way purport to address the company's disclosure |
| | Page | 95 | Page 97 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | exists, the underwriters conduct a diligent | | |
| 3 | investigation, and notwithstanding having | 3 | |
| 4 | conducted an investigation in full accordance | 2 | |
| 5 | with reasonable industry standards, the | 4 | |
| 6 | problem is still out there and it's not | le | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 7 | disclosed in the prospectus or registration | | |
| 8 | statement and the problem then thereafter | 8 | and writers appropries |
| 9 | bites the company, are you saying that the | | |
| 10 | underwriters have no disclosure obligation | 10 | 3 |
| 11 | because they conducted a reasonable | 1 | |
| | | 12 | |
| 17) | ini/octiontion'/ | 14 | . HIBOGEWERGEN HEVENHYZHOH ZURU 1965 |
| 12 | investigation? MR GLUCKOW: The same objections | 111 | |
| 13 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections | 13 | reasonableness of their belief in the |
| 13 14 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. | 14 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the |
| 13 14 15 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for | 14 15 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. |
| 13 14 15 16 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete | 12 13 10 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in | 14 15 16 17 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. | 12 13 10 13 13 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. | 12 13 10 13 13 14 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, here's what | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and letting you filibuster, but you can |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, here's what I would say. I would say that to the | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and letting you filibuster, but you can object to the questions, and you have, |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, here's what I would say. I would say that to the extent that the underwriters made a | 12 13 16 17 18 19 20 22 22 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and letting you filibuster, but you can object to the questions, and you have, and you've done it fully, on this whole |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, here's what I would say. I would say that to the extent that the underwriters made a reasonable and diligent investigation, | 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 22 22 23 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and letting you filibuster, but you can object to the questions, and you have, and you've done it fully, on this whole subject matter. You don't have to |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections as before. Incomplete hypothetical. It calls for speculation. It calls for a legal conclusion. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, here's what I would say. I would say that to the extent that the underwriters made a | 12 13 16 17 18 19 20 22 22 | reasonableness of their belief in the accuracy and completeness of the registration statement. I'm not sure what you're getting at with your parsing disclosure obligations of the company MR. LEWIS: I'm sitting here and letting you filibuster, but you can object to the questions, and you have, and you've done it fully, on this whole subject matter. You don't have to supply what his opinion is about. He |

| Page 100 Page 101 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------|---------|----|-------------------------------------------------|
| acan fell me that, he can correct me, but — MR GLUCKOW: I just think your question is inherently misleading, and I'm trying to make sure that both I and the witness understand what it is you are trying to ask. BY MR. LEWIS: Descond sentence of Paragraph F of your rebuttal report, Exhibit 322. You are answer. You can answer. The WITNESS: I think that's fair. BY MR LEWIS: Descond sentence of Paragraph F of you write, starting in the second sentence of Paragraph F: "While choca's report is not clear on this point, although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the sissuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? A Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you learn from the company and what ver else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that you see something doesn't not. Q You went on to say. "Each fact that | | | Page 98 | | Page 100 |
| but— MR. GLUCKOW: I just think your question is inherently misleading, and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to make sure that both I and I'm trying to ask. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Lef's look at Paragraph F of your ventual report, Exhibit 322. You write, starting in the Cochoa's report is not clear on this point, although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the line is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? Learn it is certainly good practice to look at Page 99 FDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. Just and the province of the necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be information out there, both things you learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not recessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the decision as to what is material and what is not proposition is that because gray marketing of the results of Daimler-Benz? A. No, or not to my knowledge. Jo What information do you mean itself about gray marketing or Costoo by the time results of Daimler-Benz? A. No, or not to my knowledge. Jo What information do you mean itself about gray marketing or Costoo by the time results of Daimler-Benz? A. Nor on to to my knowledge. Jo What information do you |]] | • | | | • |
| MR. GLUCKOW: I just think your question is inherently misleading, and 1 m trying to make sure that both I and the witness understand what it is you are trying to ask. 9 BY MR. LEWIS: 9 | | · | | 2 | |
| 5 | l | | | | |
| for the witness understand what it is you are trying to sak. By MR. LEWIS: Q. Let's look at Paragraph F of your rebuttal report, Exhibit 322. You write, starting in the second sentence of Paragraph F: "While although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the same industry, as they issuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean 24 What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 FDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. Page 99 FDWARD NECARSULMER, III think they had discussed whether they should attalk to Costco. Those are the types of things. They knew that an action I'm not sure of the name for it and if a corror of the name for it and if a corror of the string people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly Page 101 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. Jguess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of in a broader sense is there's a huge body of in Company and the things you might when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is 16 factual situation is different and the underwriters and the underwrit | | | | | |
| the witness understand what it is you are trying to ask. 9 BY MR. LEWIS: 10 Q. Lef's look at Paragraph F of 11 your rebutlal report, Exhibit 322. 12 You write, starting in the 13 second science of Paragraph F: "While 14 Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, 15 although underwriters often review filings of 16 other companies in the same industry, as they 17 did here, the mention of a risk in such 18 filings is not necessarily determinative of 19 whether the risk needs to be included in the 19 yo that? 20 issuing company's registration statement." 21 Can you explain what you mean 22 by that? 23 A. Sure. 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 Page 99 Page 99 Page 90 Page 10 I guess what I'm trying to say 10 in a broader sense is there's a huge body of 11 information out there, both things you learn 12 from the company and the things you learn 13 learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's 15 job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is 16 decision as to what is material and what is 17 not. 28 Q. You went on to say: "Each 18 to decision as to what is material and what is 19 factual situation is different and the 10 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 11 decision as to what is material and what is 12 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 13 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 14 L Not to my knowledge. 15 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 16 L Not to my knowledge. 16 L Not to my knowledge. 18 L Not to my knowledge. 18 L Not to my knowledge. 19 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 10 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 10 L Not to my knowledge. 11. Not to my knowledge. 12 L Not to my knowledge. 12 L Not to my knowledge. 14 L Not to my knowledge. 15 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 16 L Not be my knowledge. 18 L Not to my knowledge. 19 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 19 A. Ne, or not to my knowledge. 10 L. Not end theristing of the from a clean factual fill | Į. | | | | |
| 8 are trying to ask. 9 BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let's look at Paragraph F of 10 Q. Let's look at Paragraph F of 11 your rebuttal report, Exhibit 322. 12 You write, starting in the 13 second sentence of Paragraph F: "While 14 Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, 15 although underwriters often review filings of 16 other companies in the same industry, as they 17 did here, the mention of a risk in such 18 filings is not necessarily determinative of 19 whether the risk needs to be included in the 18 filings company's registration statement. 21 Can you explain what you mean 22 by that? 23 A. Surc. 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 what the competition is doing, what they are 16 included in either your documents or in your 17 information out there, both things you learn 18 opinion. 29 I guess what I'm trying to say 10 in a broader sense is there's a huge body of 10 information out there, both things you learn 19 from the company and the things you might 10 decision as to what is material and what is 10 on. 21 Q. What information out there, the mention of a risk in wish 21 Lehman in deposition, I believe from 22 Page 99 23 Page 101 24 Page 99 25 Page 101 26 Page 99 27 Page 102 28 Page 103 29 Page 104 20 Page 99 20 Page 105 21 Lehman in deposition, I believe from 21 Lehman in deposition, I believe from 22 Page 104 23 Page 105 24 Page 106 25 Page 107 26 Page 107 27 Page 108 28 Page 109 29 Page 109 20 Page 109 21 Page 101 22 Page 101 23 Page 101 24 Page 101 25 Page 102 26 Page 107 27 Page 108 28 Page 109 29 Page 101 20 Page 101 21 Page 102 22 Page 103 23 Page 104 24 Page 105 25 Page 106 26 Page 207 27 Page 107 28 Page 108 29 Page 109 20 Page 209 21 Page 209 22 Page 209 23 Page 200 24 Page 209 25 Page 209 26 Page 209 27 Page 209 28 Page 209 29 Page 209 20 Page 209 20 Page 209 21 Page 209 22 Page 209 23 Page 200 24 Page 209 25 Page 209 26 Page 209 27 Page 209 28 Page 200 29 Page 200 20 Page 200 20 Page 200 21 Page 200 22 Page 200 23 Page 200 | | | | | |
| 9 BY MR. LÉWÍS: Q. Let's look at Paragraph F of 10 your rebuttal report, Exhibit 322. You write, starting in the 11 second sentence of Paragraph F: "While 12 Second sentence of Paragraph F: "While 13 A. No, or not to my knowledge. 15 A. No, or not to my knowledge. 16 other companies in the same industry, as they 17 did here, the mention of a risk in such 18 filings is not necessarily determinative of 19 whether the risk needs to be included in the 19 using company's registration statement." 21 Can you explain what you mean 22 by that? 23 A. Sure. 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at 26 more starting of the starting of the strength of t | | | | | |
| 10 Q. Let's look at Paragraph F of 10 investigation in the Daimler case that you mentioned, did gray marketing later affect the results of Daimler-Benz? 2 3 4 No, or not to my knowledge. 1. Not to my knowledge. 2 Q. What information do you understand the underwriters received from Adams itself about gray marketing or Costoo by the time of the effective date of the IPO? 18 They—they—you know, there's a number of items. They knew that an action I'm not sure of the name for it and if action is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. 1 guess what I'm trying to say 1 guess what I'm t | | | | | |
| your rebuttal report, Exhibit 322. 13 second sentence of Paragraph F: "While Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." 21 Can you explain what you mean by that? 22 by that? 23 A. Sure. 24 What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at 25 FEDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. 26 I guess what I'm trying to say opinion. 27 I guess what I'm trying to say opinion. 28 I guess what I'm trying to say opinion. 29 I guess what I'm trying to say opinion. 30 I guess what I'm trying to say opinion. 40 I a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you might learn of the company and the things you learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. 40 O. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters meeting of coste ob the mention of a tisk in such in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such in the in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn included in either your documents or in your opinito is the same industry the same industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. | | | | | |
| You write, starting in the second sentence of Paragraph F: "While Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the same industry. The start is a requirement to be fineluded in elbery our documents or in your opinion. Page 99 | | | | | |
| 3 Second sentence of Paragraph F: "While Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." 20 Sy that? 21 Can you explain what you mean 22 23 A. Sure. 23 What I'm saying is basically 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at 26 Single, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't niculaded in either your documents or in your opinion. 26 Single swhat I'm trying to say opinion. 27 Single swhat I'm trying to say information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. 28 Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate 29 Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 20 What information out there, both things 20 What information out plane in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things and make a decision as to what is material and what is 16 Gardina of the effective date of the IPO? A. They they you know, there's a number of items. They know that an action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had it into five the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had eaction is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they | | | | | |
| 14 Ochoa's report is not clear on this point, 15 although underwriters often review fillings of 16 other companies in the same industry, as they 17 did here, the mention of a risk in such 18 fillings is not necessarily determinative of 19 whether the risk needs to be included in the 19 issuing company's registration statement." 20 | | | : | | |
| although underwriters often review filings of other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." 21 | | | | | |
| other companies in the same industry, as they did here, the mention of a risk in such fillings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean 21 | | | | | |
| did here, the mention of a risk in such fillings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? A. Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 Page 99 Page 99 Page 101 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filling, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filling with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. Juguess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of in formation out there, both things you learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the accessarily mean individual basis," correct? A. Can you explain what you mean that it sar requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. Begin the effective date of the IPO? A. They - they - you know, there's a number of items. They knew that an action — I'm not sure of the name for it and if action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly Page 101 Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costco of the world, is you don't normally — it's pretty hard — | | <u> </u> | | | |
| filings is not necessarily determinative of whether the risk needs to be included in the logistic company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? A. Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't included in either your documents or in your opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the decision are not going to tell you anything, and the disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. They they you know, They they you know, A. They they you know, action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is the right word for it, but there had action is diff action action is death action is different and the frecords from talking to make a few action is different and the frecords from talking to make they had discussed it. Certainly Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Devou think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUC | | | | 16 | understand the underwriters received from Adams |
| whether the risk needs to be included in the issuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? A. Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 Degrey that the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't included in either your documents or in your opinion. Justice in a broader sense is there's a number of items. They knew that an action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had discussed it. Certainly whatever they had discussed it. Certainly whatever they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't included in either your documents or in your opinion. Justice is a number of items. They knew that an action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had discussed it. Certainly whatever they had discussed it. Certainly when they should they had discussed it. Certainly when they are filing with the SEC. The talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably when the industry and whatever else, and they are they had discussed it. Certainly when they are had the things you might to cost on the proper had the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably they decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the decision as to what is material and wh | | | | | |
| issuing company's registration statement." Can you explain what you mean by that? A. Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are fliing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filling with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't nicluded in either your documents or in your opinion. Juses what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of in formation out there, both things you might when it company and the things you might when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is on. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing there's a number of items. They knew that an action I'm not sure of then ame for it and if action I'm not sure of the name for it and if action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 | | | | 18 | time of the effective date of the IPO? |
| 21 by that? 22 by that? 23 A. Sure. 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at 26 Page 99 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 what the competition is doing, what they are 3 filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, 4 whatever they are filing with the SEC. The 5 fact that you see something doesn't 6 necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be 6 included in either your documents or in your 8 opinion. 9 I guess what I'm trying to say 1 information out there, both things you learn 1 information out there, both things you learn 1 from the company and the things you might 1 learn from the industry and whatever else, and 4 when it comes down to it, the underwriter's 1 job is to go through those things and make a 1 decision as to what is material and what is 1 Q. You went on to say: "Each 1 factual situation is different and the 2 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 2 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 2 A. Correct. 2 Q. Would it be fair to say that 2 your position is that because gray marketing 2 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 2 A. Correct. 2 Q. Would it be fair to say that 2 your position is that because gray marketing 2 action -: I'm not sure of the name for it, but there had because the right word for it, but there had because deal caction is the right word for it, but there had because that's what there had action is the oaction is that because that's what they had discussed it. Certainly 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 Lehman in deposition, I believe from 2 Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? 8 purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? 9 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. 1 THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costco of the w | | | | 19 | |
| 22 by that? 23 A. Sure. 24 What I'm saying is basically 25 that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 what the competition is doing, what they are 3 filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, 4 whatever they are filing with the SEC. The 5 fact that you see something doesn't 6 necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be 7 included in either your documents or in your 8 opinion. 9 I guess what I'm trying to say 10 in a broader sense is there's a huge body of 11 information out there, both things you learn 12 from the company and the things you might 13 learn from the industry and whatever else, and 14 when it comes down to it, the underwriter's 15 job is to go through those things and make a 16 decision as to what is material and what is 17 not. 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 19 factual situation is different and the 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 22 Q. Would it be fair to say that 23 your position is that because gray marketing 24 action is the right word for it, but there had been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing been a legal challenge. I think they had records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly Page 99 Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costoo. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should talk to Costoo. Those are the types of things. Page 101 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed wh | 20 | issuing company's registration statement." | | 20 | there's a number of items. They knew that an |
| A. Sure. What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are fliing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, a fact that you see something doesn't in a broader sense is there's a huge body of in formation out there, both things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each late of the disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing late of the visual policy is that it's any one page 124 records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly Page 99 Page 99 Page 99 Page 99 Page 10 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessarity to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they head from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | Can you explain what you mean | | 21 | action I'm not sure of the name for it and if |
| 24 What I'm saying is basically that it's certainly good practice to look at 25 Page 99 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, 4 whatever they are filing with the SEC. The 5 fact that you see something doesn't 5 nocessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. 9 I guess what I'm trying to say 7 opinion. 9 I guess what I'm trying to say 9 in a broader sense is there's a huge body of 10 information out there, both things you learn 11 from the company and the things you might 12 learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's 15 job is to go through those things and make a 16 decision as to what is material and what is not. 10 Q. You went on to say: "Each 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 22 A. Correct. 22 G. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 24 records from talking to marketing people, you know, that they had discussed it. Certainly Page 101 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they head from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | by that? | | 22 | action is the right word for it, but there had |
| that it's certainly good practice to look at Page 99 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the decision as to what is material and what is not. 17 normally it's pretty hard almost hy definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are not going to tell you anything, and dams, I don't I think, it's my Manuel Ma | | A. Sure. | | 23 | been a legal challenge. I think they had |
| Page 99 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't 5 | | | | 24 | records from talking to marketing people, you |
| EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't 2 | 25 | that it's certainly good practice to look at | | 25 | know, that they had discussed it. Certainly |
| EDWARD NECARSULMER, III what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't 2 | | | Daga 00 | | Peca 101 |
| what the competition is doing, what they are filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? Q. Would it be fair to say that you can est the types of things. 2 Lehman in deposition, I believe from Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purporredly stated that it was unnecessarity to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they should that is part of their MO which is because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | 1 | | rage 99 | 4 | |
| filing, the most recent, whatever, 10-K, whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing Pulido-Crowe, they discussed whether they should talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| whatever they are filing with the SEC. The fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be nicluded in either your documents or in your opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 4 talk to Costco. Those are the types of things. Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. I HE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| fact that you see something doesn't necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be included in either your documents or in your opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 5 Q. Do you think that Lehman should not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. Incomplete hypothetical. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing | | | | | |
| 6 necessarily mean that it's a requirement to be 7 included in either your documents or in your 8 opinion. 9 I guess what I'm trying to say 10 in a broader sense is there's a huge body of 11 information out there, both things you learn 12 from the company and the things you might 13 learn from the industry and whatever else, and 14 when it comes down to it, the underwriter's 15 job is to go through those things and make a 16 decision as to what is material and what is 17 not. 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 19 factual situation is different and the 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is hat because gray marketing 26 not have talked to Costco because Barney Adams 7 purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do 8 so? 9 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 10 form. Calls for speculation. 11 Incomplete hypothetical. 12 You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: I think probably 14 not necessarily. I think there's 15 another problem with talking to the 16 Costcos of the world, is you don't 17 normally it's pretty hard almost 18 by definition of who they are, they are 19 not going to tell you anything, and 19 that is part of their MO which is 10 relatively well-known. So whether they 21 are right for that reason or right 22 because that's what they heard from 23 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| included in either your documents or in your opinion. Iguess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn information out there, both things you might learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 7 purportedly stated that it was unnecessary to do so? 8 so? 9 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Calls for speculation. 10 Incomplete hypothetical. 11 Incomplete hypothetical. 12 You can answer. 13 THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| opinion. I guess what I'm trying to say I la mother problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't I normally it's pretty hard almost I guess what I'm trying to say I decision as to what is material and what is I guess what I'm trying to say I decision. I guess what I'm trying to say I decision as to what is material. I pour can answer. I guess what I'm trying to say I think there's A cortect on an individual basis, "correct? I guess what it evaluate appropriate I guess what I'm trying to say I decision as to what is material. I pour can answer. I guess what it pyou can answer. I guess whethere's and the ont necessarily. I think there's I decision as to what is material and what is I decision as to what is material and what is I decision as to | | | | | |
| I guess what I'm trying to say in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? Q. Would it be fair to say that you can answer. He WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| in a broader sense is there's a huge body of information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that you can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | • | | | |
| information out there, both things you learn from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that you can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| from the company and the things you might learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that you can answer. THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is relatively well-known. So whether they are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| learn from the industry and whatever else, and when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing THE WITNESS: I think probably not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| when it comes down to it, the underwriter's job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 14 not necessarily. I think there's another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right 23 because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| job is to go through those things and make a decision as to what is material and what is not. Q. You went on to say: "Each factual situation is different and the underwriters must evaluate appropriate disclosure on an individual basis," correct? A. Correct. Q. Would it be fair to say that your position is that because gray marketing 15 another problem with talking to the Costcos of the world, is you don't normally it's pretty hard almost by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right because that's what they heard from Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 16 decision as to what is material and what is 17 not. 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 19 factual situation is different and the 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 26 Costcos of the world, is you don't 27 normally it's pretty hard almost 28 by definition of who they are, they are 29 not going to tell you anything, and 20 that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right 23 because that's what they heard from 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 17 normally it's pretty hard almost 18 Q. You went on to say: "Each 19 factual situation is different and the 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 26 py definition of who they are, they are 19 not going to tell you anything, and 20 that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right 23 because that's what they heard from 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| Q. You went on to say: "Each 18 by definition of who they are, they are not going to tell you anything, and underwriters must evaluate appropriate 20 that is part of their MO which is disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 A. Correct. 22 are right for that reason or right 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 23 because that's what they heard from 24 your position is that because gray marketing 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | decision as to what is material and what is | | | |
| 19 factual situation is different and the 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 29 not going to tell you anything, and 20 that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right 23 because that's what they heard from 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 20 underwriters must evaluate appropriate 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 20 that is part of their MO which is 21 relatively well-known. So whether they 22 are right for that reason or right 23 because that's what they heard from 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 21 disclosure on an individual basis," correct? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 25 relatively well-known. So whether they 26 are right for that reason or right 27 because that's what they heard from 28 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Would it be fair to say that 24 your position is that because gray marketing 25 are right for that reason or right 26 because that's what they heard from 27 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| Q. Would it be fair to say that 23 because that's what they heard from 24 your position is that because gray marketing 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| 24 your position is that because gray marketing 24 Adams, I don't I think, it's my | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 25 affects different companies in different ways, 25 opinion, that they were adequate in | 24 | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | l | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 102 | | Page 104 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | what they did. | 2 | compiled; is that the question? |
| 3 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 3 | Q. Not how the list was compiled, |
| 4 | Q. Do you agree that it would have | 4 | but how when the customer calls were made by the |
| 5 | been better to try calling Costco to see what | 5 | underwriters, the underwriters figured out who |
| 6 | could be found, if anything? | 6 | they were going to call? |
| 7 | A. I don't know that hindsight is | 7 | MR. GLUCKOW: And which |
| 8 | particularly useful for me in this case. | 8 | underwriters are going to call which |
| 9 | Q. Well, if you apply it, with the | 9 | party, or? |
| 10 | benefit of hindsight, do you think it would have | 10 | Objection to form. |
| 11 | been a better thing to do? | 11 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. |
| 12 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. Asked | 12 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 13 | and answered. | 13 | Q. Well, Adams had a large universe |
| 14 | You can answer it now. | 14 | of suppliers; is that fair to say? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: I still don't think | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | they would have found anything | 16 | Q. And it had a large universe of |
| 17 | significant. | 17 | customers also, correct? |
| 18 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 18 | A. Correct. |
| 19 | Q. Now, you referred in Paragraph C | 19 | Q. Do you know how the underwriters |
| 20 | of your rebuttal report to the underwriters | 20 | made the determination of which customers they |
| 21 | having conducted 11 telephonic interviews. | 21 | would call? |
| 22 | Let me show you Exhibit 198. | 22 | A. A list was provided by the |
| 23 | MR. LEWIS: I'm sorry; this says | 23 | company. |
| 24 | 160, is it not? | 24 | Q. Was that based on the size of |
| 25 | MR. GLUCKOW: 160. | 25 | the customers' business with Adams? |
| | Page 103 | | Page 105 |
| | · | | |
| I | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Mine says 198. | 2 | A. I don't know for sure, but I |
| 3 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 3 | assume so. That is traditionally the way it is |
| 4 | Q. They were marked the same | 4 | done. |
| 5 | document was marked several times. Just so we | 5 | Q. Traditionally which what |
| 6 | are all looking at the same thing, you have in | 6 7 | level of customers of a company does an |
| 7 | front of you one numbered 160? | - | underwriter call in due diligence? |
| 8 | A. I gave you back 198, which I had. | 8 | A. There's no magic to this, but |
| 9 10 | | 9 10 | traditionally, you know, you say, "Give me your |
| 11 | Q. Okay. A. Now I have 160. | 11 | top ten customers." It could be out of the top 50, the top five, but the top ten sticks in my |
| 12 | Q. Were the 11 calls that you | 12 | |
| 13 | referred to in your rebuttal report the 11 calls | 13 | memory. |
| 14 | that are enumerated on the second page of this | 14 | Q. Is that a practice you have used yourself? |
| 15 | exhibit under the heading of Customer Calls, | 15 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 16 | summaries circulated to underwriters by caller | 16 | Q. I'll show you what's previously |
| 17 | and supplier calls? | 17 | been marked as Exhibit 162. Was this one of the |
| 18 | A. That is correct. | 18 | documents that you reviewed in your work on this |
| 19 | Q. Do you know if any of these | 19 | case? |
| 20 | calls were selected by the underwriters to be | 20 | A. It was. |
| 21 | made on a geographic basis? | 21 | Q. Did you see in reviewing it that |
| 22 | A. I don't. | 22 | on the right-hand column of the page for a |
| 23 | Q. Do you know how the calls were | 23 | period of 1998, the firm of WDC Mackenzie, |
| 24 | selected to be made? | 24 | M-A-C-K-E-N-Z-I-E, was listed as the third |
| 25 | A. It what how this list was | 25 | largest customer of Adams? |
| 40 | | | |

| <u> </u> | | | D 400 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------------------|
| ١. | Page 106 | | Page 108 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | A. I do. | 2 | Q. Do you believe that there should |
| 3 | Q. Do you believe that there was | 3 | have been contact between the underwriters and |
| 4 | any reason why the underwriter should not have | 4 | Mackenzie as part of due diligence? |
| 5 | called Mackenzie in the due diligence process? | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 6 | Q. And why is that? |
| 7 | form. Mischaracterizes the document in | 7 | A. I'll say that I don't know |
| 8 | the record. | 8 | whether they were given, you know the list of |
| 9 | You can answer. | 9 | customers that they were given simply didn't |
| 10 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 10 | include them. |
| 11 | Q. Let me back up. Do you know who | 11 | I guess I would also add that |
| 12 | Mackenzie is? | 12 | it's okay I wouldn't necessarily think |
| 13 | A. From reading the documents, I | 13 | and, again, it's very hard to put yourself |
| 14 | know who they are; yes, I do. | 14 | back in their place, but I wouldn't |
| 15 | Q. Do you know that they are a | 15 | necessarily think if I was trying to uncover |
| 16 | retailer who had spoken to Adams about a | 16 | or discover or investigate, that Canada would |
| 17 | potential gray market Costco problem in Canada? | 17 | be a place that I would, you know, spend a lot |
| 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 18 | of time looking for issues relative to |
| 19 | form. Mischaracterizes the record. | 19 | southern California or Florida or someplace |
| 20 | You can answer. | 20 | where I would intuitively have thought may |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I know they were | 21 | have been more important to a golf club |
| 22 | the Canadian, I'll say, distributor or | 22 | company. |
| 23 | retailer of Adams. | 23 | Q. You have seen the questionnaire |
| 24 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 24 | that Adams sent to strike that; that the |
| 25 | Q. And what, if any, communications | 25 | underwriters sent to Adams' retailers as part of |
| | Page 107 | | Page 109 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | can you recall between Mackenzie and Adams on | 2 | the due diligence process? |
| 3 | the subject of gray market or Costco? | 3 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 4 | MR. GLUCKOW: Between Mackenzie | 4 | form. It assumes facts not in |
| 5 | and Adams? | 5 | evidence. |
| 6 | MR. LEWIS: Right, between | 6 | You can answer. |
| 7 | Mackenzie and Adams. | 7 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I saw a series of | 8 | Q. Have you seen questionnaires |
| 9 | documentation faxes, memos, whatever | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | you want to call them going back and | 10 | Q that were used in connection |
| 11 | forth suggesting that they were having | 11 | with the underwriters' investigation of |
| 12 | questioning of how these clubs were | 12 | retailers? |
| 13 | they in Costco and how they got there | 13 | A. I have. |
| 14 | and what the company is going to do | 14 | Q. And would it be fair to say that |
| 15 | about it. | 15 | those questionnaires did not include any |
| 16 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 16 | specific questions relating to gray marketing or |
| 17 | Q. Are you aware of any contact | 17 | Costco? |
| 18 | between the underwriters and Mackenzie as part | 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 19 | of the due diligence process? | 19 | form. Vague and ambiguous. |
| 20 | A. None. | 20 | You can answer. |
| 21 | Q. Did you have any understanding | 21 | THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, |
| 22 | as to why there was no contact between the | 22 | but I would think that the statement, |
| 23 | underwriters and Mackenzie in the due diligence | 23 | "Are there any other issues (legal, |
| 24 | process? | 24 | contractual, or otherwise) which you |
| 25 | A. I don't. I don't. | 25 | feel are important" would certainly |
| | AAF A GOLL OF A GOLL OF | | are an amportant models with the |

| EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | <u> </u> | D . 110 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------|
| take care of that responsibility. MR. LEWIS: I move to strike the latter part of that. We'll get to the overall—the larger question within the questionnaires. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Have you seen Exhibit 193? A. Yes, I've seen it. in connection with the due diligence to connection with the due to the the pre | ١. | Page 110 | | Page 112 |
| 3 | | | 1 | |
| A latter part of that. Well get to the 5 coverall the larger question within the questionnaires. 4 | | | | |
| 5 overall—the larger question within 6 to the questionnaires. 6 the questionnaires. 7 BY MR. LEWIS: 8 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 193? 9 A. Yes, I've seen it. 10 Q. Is it your understanding that 10 this is a blank copy of a Customer Due Diligence Questionnaire that was used by the underwriters 11 in connection with the due diligence 11 understigation? 15 A. That's my understanding. 16 Q. Now, you mentioned, in response 16 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 17 hab are ceiveired information about gray marketing people at Adams. 17 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 18 had received information about gray marketing people at Adams. 18 had received information may received? 19 from marketing people at Adams. 20 Can you tell me when in your 21 understanding that information was received? 21 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 22 form. It mischaracterizes the 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. 25 WR. LEWIS: 26 Q. June— 27 A. I see DWARD NECARSULMER, III 25 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. 28 BY MR. LEWIS: 29 Q. June— 30 before that time. I just can't tell you from 10 thaving the documents; my memory isn't that 20 good. 31 Q. Well, do you recall any 20 C. June— 32 of gray marketing came up during drafting 31 of gray marketing came up during drafting 32 of gray marketing came up during drafting 32 of gray marketing and the proceeding against Costoo? ") 30 A. I do recall that testimony 1 acutally recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. 31 Q. On the drafting sessions? 32 A. Yes. Q. On the drafting sessions were 32 all held in April? 33 A. Correct. 34 A. Correct. 35 Q. Do you know—strike that. | | | E . | |
| 6 the questionnaires. 6 Back, please. Thank you. (The court reporter read the record as follows: 9 A. Yes, I've seen it. 10 Q. Is it your understanding that this is a blank copy of a Customer Due Diligence Questionnaire that was used by the underwriters in comection with the due diligence investigation? 14 investigation? 15 A. That's my understanding. 16 Q. Now, you mentioned, in response to investigation, that the underwriters 17 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 17 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 17 to an earlier question, that the undervriters 18 had received information about gray marketing group and 20 modes and 2 | | | | |
| Page III Characterizes the testimony. Page III EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. Page III Can you greatl the sissions? Page III Can you greatl the sissions? Page III Can you greatl the was seed to the press release that it had filed a investigation? THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. Page III Can you greatl the sissions? Page III Page II | | | | |
| 8 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 193? 9 A. Yes, I've seen it. 10 Q. Is it your understanding that 11 this is a blank copy of a Customer Due Diligence Questionnaire that was used by the underwriters in connection with the due diligence 13 in connection with the due diligence 14 investigation? 15 A. That's my understanding. 16 Q. Now, you mentioned, in response 16 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 17 to an earlier question, that the underwriters 18 had received information about gray marketing 19 from marketing people at Adams. 20 Can you tell me when in your 21 understanding that information was received? 22 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. 25 You can answer. Page 111 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 3 when. I know there was a press release 4 in June, I believe it was June. Page 113 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 3 when. I know there was a press release 4 in June, I believe it was June. Page 111 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 4 we all were browner on top. 2 Q. June 3 A. You, ger. 4 Q younger and I was younger and 4 we all were browner on top. 2 Can you describe how difficult or 2 easy you found it to get a customer to answer 4 we all were browner on top. 4 Can you describe how difficult or 5 easy you found it to get a customer to answer 5 the questions on a due diligence 10 mot having the documents; my memory isn't that 11 of gray marketing game up during drafting 12 essions? 13 in connection with the due diligence 14 the press release that it had filed a 15 the press release that it had filed a 16 the press release that it had filed a 17 the WITNESS: I don't recall. 18 BY MR. LEWIS: 2 Q. Well, do you recall any 2 the securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? 2 A. You ger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. 2 Can you describe how difficult or 2 easy you found it to get a customer to ans | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| this is a blank copy of a Customer Due Diligence Questionnaire that was used by the underwriters in connection with the due diligence investigation? A. That's my understanding. Q. Now, you mentioned, in response to an earlier question, that the underwriters had received information about gray marketing from marketing people at Adams. Can you tell me when in your understanding that information was received? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. It mischaracterizes the testimony. The WITNESS: I don't recall. BYMR. LEWIS: THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. BYMR. LEWIS: Q. June - A. Tassume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. Q. Well, do you recall any ood, Well, do you recall any catually recall, to be exact, she said she sessions? A. I do recall that testimony, I actually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions were all held in April? A. Crirect. Q. Da you know strike that. Underwriters to Adams or gray marketing after Adams issued the press release that it had filed a proceeding against Costco?") MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. You can answer. BYMR. LEWIS: Q. Have you, in your long career in the securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 111 Q. When you were A. Younger. Qyounger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or seasy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: I don't recall. BYMR. LEWIS: Qyounger and I was younger and we all wer | | | | |
| 12 Ouestionnaire that was used by the underwriters in connection with the due diligence in the press release that it had filed a proceeding against Costco?") A. That's my understanding 14 | | | E . | |
| in connection with the due diligence investigation? A. That's my understanding. O. Now, you mentioned, in response 15 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. To an earlier question, that the underwriters 18 had received information about gray marketing 19 from marketing people at Adams. Can you tell me when in your 20 understanding that information was received? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 6 form. It mischaracterizes the 123 form. It mischaracterizes the 124 testimony. You can answer. Page 111 I EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 17 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 Q. Now, you mentioned, in response to an earlier question, that the underwriters to an earlier question, that the underwriters 17 | | | | |
| to an earlier question, that the underwriters had received information about gray marketing 19 from marketing people at Adams. Can you tell me when in your understanding that information was received? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. It mischaracterizes the testimony. The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 111 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. BY MR. LEWIS: The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 111 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you were 3 A. Younger. Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't recall. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, do you recall any The wirn securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? Q. Well, do you gen. A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you were 3 A. Younger. Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? The WITNESS: I | | | 1 | |
| 18 had received information about gray marketing 19 from marketing people at Adams. 19 | , | | ľ | |
| 19 from marketing people at Adams. 20 Can you tell me when in your 21 understanding that information was received? 22 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. 24 testimony. 25 You can answer. 26 You can answer. 27 You can answer. 28 You can answer. 29 A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 111 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 2 Q. When you were 3 when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. 4 Q younger and I was younger and S W all were browner on top. 6 Q. June 6 Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? 9 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 10 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually p-etty cooperative, they are usually p-etty cooperative. | | | t . | |
| Can you tell me when in your understanding that information was received? MR, GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. Page 111 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. June A. I assume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I A. I do recall that testimony. I A. Yes. Q. Have you, in your long career in the securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you wask a customet to ask a customation for a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you wask a customet information that was set forth on a questionnaire? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you were A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. When you were A. Younger. A. Younger. Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. You can answer. THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually pretty to operative, they are us | | | | |
| 21 understanding that information was received? 22 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. 25 You can answer. 26 Page 111 27 FDWARD NECARSULMER, III 28 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 39 when. I know there was a press release 40 in June, I believe it was June. 50 BY MR. LEWIS: 61 Q. June 71 A. I assume it was coincident or 72 before that time. I just can't tell you from 73 not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. 10 Q. Well, do you recall any 11 Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? 14 actually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. 15 Q. In the drafting sessions were all held in April? 16 Q. And the drafting sessions were all held in April? 20 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 the securities industry, been the person who had to ask a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer information that was set forth on as a customer in formation? A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. 19 Q. When you were A. Younger. 10 Q. When you were A. Younger. 10 Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. 11 Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions and aud elligence questionnaire? 10 The WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who alout XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, | | | | |
| 22 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. | | | | |
| 23 form. It mischaracterizes the 24 testimony. 25 You can answer. 26 Page 111 27 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 28 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 39 when. I know there was a press release 40 in June, I believe it was June. 40 Page 113 21 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 22 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 33 when. I know there was a press release 44 in June, I believe it was June. 45 BY MR. LEWIS: 46 Q. June 47 A. I assume it was coincident or 48 before that time. I just can't tell you from 49 not having the documents; my memory isn't that 40 good. 41 Q. Well, do you recall any 41 Q. Well, do you recall any 41 Lestimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject 41 of gray marketing came up during drafting 42 sessions? 43 A. Younger. 44 Younger. 45 Can you describe how difficult or 46 easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? 48 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and 49 ambiguous. 40 THE WITNESS: My best 40 of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very 40 can talk much about, you know, their 41 susually pretty cooperative, they are 42 usually but the value of the 41 Indicated the subject of the spectrum of the people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their 41 susually but the value of the 42 information varies greatly. | | | | |
| 24 testimony. 25 You can answer. Page 111 Page 111 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. BY MR. LEWIS: A. I assume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. Q. Well, do you recall any testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I call actually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. A. Yes. Q. And the drafting sessions were Q. In the drafting sessions were Q. And the drafting sessions were Q. And the drafting sessions were Q. Do you know strike that. Page 113 A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 114 A. I've done it but not in recent memory or recent history. Page 113 A. Younger. Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. You can answer. THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| Page 111 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 THE WITNESS: I can't tell you 2 Q. When you were A. Younger. 4 Q younger and I was younger and We all were browner on top. 6 Can you describe how difficult or 6 Can you describe how difficult or 7 A. I assume it was coincident or 8 before that time. I just can't tell you from 8 other had time. I just can't tell you from 9 not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. 10 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and 10 ambiguous. 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: My best 13 recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who 14 sessions? 14 of the spectrum. There are people who 16 important to them. There are other 17 important to them. There are other 18 Q. In the drafting sessions were 20 A. Yes. 19 don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are 21 usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 1 | | • | |
| EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 | 25 | You can answer. | 25 | memory or recent history. |
| THE WITNESS: I can't tell you when. I know there was a press release in June, I believe it was June. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. June A. I assume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I actually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions were 20 all held in April? A. Correct. Q. When you were A. Younger. A. Younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | | Page 111 | | Page 113 |
| 3 | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| in June, I believe it was June. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. June A. I assume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that Q. Well, do you recall any testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject for gray marketing came up during drafting A. I do recall that testimony. I catually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions? Q. And the drafting sessions were all held in April? A. Correct. Q. Do you know strike that. 4 Q younger and I was younger and we all were browner on top. Can you describe how difficult or easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 10 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 2 | THE WITNESS: I can't tell you | 2 | Q. When you were |
| 5 BY MR. LEWIS: 6 Q. June 7 A. I assume it was coincident or 8 before that time. I just can't tell you from 9 not having the documents; my memory isn't that 10 good. 11 Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject 13 of gray marketing came up during drafting 14 sessions? 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 We all were browner on top. 6 Can you describe how difficult or 7 easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? 9 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and 10 ambiguous. 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: My best 13 of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very 14 of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very 15 important to them. There are other 16 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other 17 important to them. There are other 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 18 people who basically tell you that they 19 A. Yes. 19 don't talk much about, you know, their 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 23 information varies greatly. | 3 | when. I know there was a press release | 3 | A. Younger. |
| 6 Q. June 7 A. I assume it was coincident or 8 before that time. I just can't tell you from 9 not having the documents; my memory isn't that 10 good. 11 Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject 13 of gray marketing came up during drafting 14 sessions? 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 Q. And the drafting sessions were 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 26 Can you describe how difficult or 27 easy you found it to get a customer to answer 28 the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? 28 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and 29 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and 20 ambiguous. 21 THE WITNESS: My best 22 recollection is it's sort of both ends 23 like to talk; they are very 24 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 25 important to them. There are other 26 people who basically tell you that they 27 don't talk much about, you know, their 28 usually pretty cooperative, they are 29 usually but the value of the 20 information varies greatly. | | in June, I believe it was June. | 4 | Q younger and I was younger and |
| A. I assume it was coincident or before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. Q. Well, do you recall any testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting A. I do recall that testimony. I catually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions? Q. In the drafting sessions were A. Yes. Q. And the drafting sessions were A. Correct. Q. Do you know strike that. 7 easy you found it to get a customer to answer the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 10 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 5 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 5 | we all were browner on top. |
| before that time. I just can't tell you from 9 not having the documents; my memory isn't that 10 good. 10 ambiguous. 11 | 6 | Q. June | 6 | Can you describe how difficult or |
| before that time. I just can't tell you from not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. Q. Well, do you recall any testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting A. I do recall that testimony. I actually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions? Q. In the drafting sessions were Q. And the drafting sessions were A. Correct. Q. Do you know strike that. 8 the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 10 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 7 | A. I assume it was coincident or | 7 | easy you found it to get a customer to answer |
| 9 not having the documents; my memory isn't that good. 10 good. 11 Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject 13 of gray marketing came up during drafting 14 sessions? 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 29 MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and ambiguous. 10 ambiguous. 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 8 | before that time. I just can't tell you from | 8 | the questions on a due diligence questionnaire? |
| 11 Q. Well, do you recall any 12 testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject 13 of gray marketing came up during drafting 14 sessions? 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. And the drafting sessions were 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 THE WITNESS: My best 26 THE WITNESS: My best 27 THE WITNESS: My best 28 THE WITNESS: My best 29 THE WITNESS: My best 29 THE WITNESS: My best 20 THE WITNESS: My best 20 recollection is it's sort of both ends 21 like to talk; they are very 22 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 23 important to them. There are other 24 don't talk much about, you know, their 25 usually pretty cooperative, they are 26 usually but the value of the 27 information varies greatly. | 9 | not having the documents; my memory isn't that | 9 | |
| testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I catually recall, to be exact, she said she believed that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions? Q. And the drafting sessions were all held in April? A. Correct. Q. Do you know strike that. 12 THE WITNESS: My best recollection is it's sort of both ends recollection is | 10 | good. | 10 | ambiguous. |
| of gray marketing came up during drafting sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I catually recall, to be exact, she said she delieved that it came up. Q. In the drafting sessions? Q. And the drafting sessions were all held in April? A. Correct. Q. Do you know strike that. 13 recollection is it's sort of both ends of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are 22 usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | | | | |
| 14 sessions? A. I do recall that testimony. I 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. And the drafting sessions were 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 of the spectrum. There are people who like to talk; they are very 15 inhere are people who basically tell you that they 16 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 17 important to them. There are other 18 people who basically tell you that they 19 don't talk much about, you know, their 20 suppliers. So it's people are 21 usually pretty cooperative, they are 22 usually but the value of the 23 information varies greatly. | ľ | testimony by Ms. Pulido-Crowe that the subject | 12 | THE WITNESS: My best |
| 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 like to talk; they are very 26 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 27 important to them. There are other 28 people who basically tell you that they 29 don't talk much about, you know, their 20 suppliers. So it's people are 21 usually pretty cooperative, they are 22 usually but the value of the 23 information varies greatly. | 13 | of gray marketing came up during drafting | 13 | |
| 15 A. I do recall that testimony. I 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 like to talk; they are very 26 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 27 important to them. There are other 28 people who basically tell you that they 29 don't talk much about, you know, their 20 suppliers. So it's people are 21 usually pretty cooperative, they are 22 usually but the value of the 23 information varies greatly. | | sessions? | 14 | of the spectrum. There are people who |
| 16 actually recall, to be exact, she said she 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's 26 important to them. There are other 27 people who basically tell you that they 28 don't talk much about, you know, their 29 suppliers. So it's people are 20 usually pretty cooperative, they are 21 usually but the value of the 22 information varies greatly. | 15 | A. I do recall that testimony. I | 15 | |
| 17 believed that it came up. 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 important to them. There are other people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 16 | | 16 | enthusiastic about XYZ's product; it's |
| 18 Q. In the drafting sessions? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 In the drafting sessions? 22 people who basically tell you that they don't talk much about, you know, their suppliers. So it's people are usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 17 | believed that it came up. | 17 | important to them. There are other |
| 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And the drafting sessions were 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 29 don't talk much about, you know, their 20 suppliers. So it's people are 21 usually pretty cooperative, they are 22 usually but the value of the 23 information varies greatly. | 18 | | 18 | |
| 20Q. And the drafting sessions were20suppliers. So it's people are21all held in April?21usually pretty cooperative, they are22A. Correct.22usually but the value of the23Q. Do you know strike that.23information varies greatly. | 19 | | 19 | |
| 21 all held in April? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 21 usually pretty cooperative, they are usually but the value of the information varies greatly. | 20 | | 20 | |
| 22 A. Correct. 22 usually but the value of the 23 O. Do you know strike that. 23 information varies greatly. | 21 | | 21 | usually pretty cooperative, they are |
| 23 Q. Do you know strike that. 23 information varies greatly. | 22 | | 22 | |
| | 23 | Q. Do you know strike that. | 23 | |
| | | Can you recall, as you sit | 24 | |
| 25 here, any inquiries made by the underwriters 25 Q. And you never know when exactly | 25 | | 25 | Q. And you never know when exactly |

| | |] | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 114 | | Page 116 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | you are getting someone and what kind of mood | 2 | ongoing gray marketing distribution or ongoing |
| 3 | he's in or she is in at the time you catch them, | 3 | Costco distribution, would you agree that |
| 4 | is that fair? | 4 | Question 13 would not be a very direct way to |
| 5 | MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and | 5 | find that out? |
| 6 | ambiguous. | 6 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 7 | You can answer. | 7 | form. Incomplete hypothetical. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: It's fair, with the | 8 | Assumes facts not in evidence. Vague |
| 9 | exception that you hope that, you know, | 9 | and ambiguous. |
| 10 | that you are good enough and you | 10 | You can answer. |
| 11 | understand enough about the subject to | 11 | THE WITNESS: I would not agree |
| 12 | qualify the responses. | 12 | to that. Often these whether they |
| 13 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 13 | are a list of questions or they are a |
| 14 | Q. Was it your practice to actually | 14 | questionnaire, you sent them out there |
| 15 | send customers questionnaires before you spoke | 15 | to set a framework to find out what you |
| 16 | to them about the contents of them? | 16 | can find out, and often it's more |
| 17 | A. No. | 17 | useful to ask a question like this one |
| 18 | Q. You used the questionnaire as a | 18 | than sometimes it is than a more |
| 19 | guide to your conversation with the client and | 19 | direct "Are you doing this?" or "Are |
| 20 | pulled the information out of them as best you | 20 | you seeing that?" Because you might |
| 21 | could? | 21 | get a more helpful response. |
| 22 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 22 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 23 | form. | 23 | Q. Well, if the recipient of this |
| 24 | You can answer. | 24 | questionnaire had heard from Adams previously |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 25 | that Adams was aware of some Costco distribution |
| | | | |
| | Page 115 | | Page 117 |
| 1 | Page 115 | , | Page 117 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues (legal, contractual or otherwise) which you feel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues (legal, contractual or otherwise) which you feel are important?" | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. In any event, there was no |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues (legal, contractual or otherwise) which you feel are important?" That is Question 13, correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. In any event, there was no specific question about Costco or gray marketing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues (legal, contractual or otherwise) which you feel are important?" That is Question 13, correct? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. In any event, there was no specific question about Costco or gray marketing on that questionnaire |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you know how the questionnaires were completed in this case? A. They were it's my understanding that they were there were telephone or other conversations and they were recorded by the questioner, the results were recorded by the questioner. Q. So that the questionee did not necessarily did not have the questionnaire in front of him? A. I do not know that for a fact, but that's my understanding. Q. Now, I showed you the blank questionnaire and that questionnaire contains the question that you alluded to in the portion of your testimony that I asked to strike, which is Question 13: "Are there any other issues (legal, contractual or otherwise) which you feel are important?" That is Question 13, correct? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III and was taking steps to address it, the person answering the questions might not think that mentioning gray marketing was of any importance. Is that fair? MR. GLUCKOW: The same objections. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I'm not trying to be difficult; I just don't know how to answer and the hypothetical is too far out there for me. It's certainly if someone specifically told you, "Don't worry about it; we are taking care of it," I'm not sure that would deter you from saying there's an issue but the company is taking care of it. I just don't know. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. In any event, there was no specific question about Costco or gray marketing |

| | Page 122 | | Page 124 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | that. | 2 | A. The direction from research to |
| 3 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 3 | banking. So it wasn't a normal to me, it |
| 4 | Q. Have you seen any evidence that | 4 | wouldn't have been a normal practice, normal |
| 5 | the underwriters were aware of new or increased | 5 | procedure. |
| 6 | distribution of Adams' products in Costco | 6 | Q. There would have been a |
| 7 | locations between the time of Ms. Pulido-Crowe's | 7 | prohibition on information going the other |
| 8 | discussion with Barney Adams and the time of the | 8 | direction, from banking to research? |
| 9 | IPO? | 9 | A. That is correct. |
| 10 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. Vague | 10 | MR. GLUCKOW: Don, again, we've |
| 11 | and ambiguous. Incomplete. | 11 | been going another hour, so whenever |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Not during that | 12 | there is a convenient break. |
| 13 | period, no. | 13 | MR. LEWIS: We can stop this |
| 14 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 14 | second. |
| 15 | Q. And do you have any reason to | 15 | MR. GLUCKOW: Okay. |
| 16 | believe, as you sit here, that the underwriters | 16 | (A recess was had from 2:31 p.m. |
| 17 | learned anything about the changes in gray | 17 | to 2:43 p.m.; and then the proceedings |
| 18 | market distribution between Ms. Pulido-Crowe's | 18 | continued as follows:) |
| 19 | discussion with Barney Adams and the IPO? | 19 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 20 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 20 | Q. Let me back up to go forward. |
| 21 | form. It mischaracterizes the record | 21 | In your expert report in this case, the first |
| 22 | particularly with respect to the | 22 | page |
| 23 | reference to a discussion. | 23 | A. So this is also the rebuttal |
| 24 | You can answer. | 24 | report. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: At some point, the | 25 | MR. GLUCKOW: Here we are, 321. |
| | | - | |
|) | Page 123 | | Page 125 |
| 1 | Page 123 | 1 | Page 125 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. |
| 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), |
| 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In |
| 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between the investment banking and the research side, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," you had used the words "must be considered." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between the investment banking and the research side, the brokerage firms, in those days? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," you had used the words "must be considered." A. Semantics. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between the investment banking and the research side, the brokerage firms, in those days? A. Partially; although, there would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," you had used the words "must be considered." A. Semantics. Q. Is there any significance to the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between the investment banking and the research side, the brokerage firms, in those days? A. Partially; although, there would be nothing specifically prohibited of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," you had used the words "must be considered." A. Semantics. Q. Is there any significance to the change in your report? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III process of these pro shop surveys began by the equity research analyst. I believe that did start before the IPO. I'm not certain that information would have been in my experience, it was unlikely that that information would have been shared with the banking team. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Why do you say it's unlikely it would have been shared? A. Because in most cases as they that the research that was done out of the equity department would not likely to be, you know, shared with; it was not normal practice to share it with the people in the investment banking side. Q. Did that have to do with the existence of the so-called Chinese walls between the investment banking and the research side, the brokerage firms, in those days? A. Partially; although, there would | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III THE WITNESS: First page, yes. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. The first page, Paragraph 6(A), Summary of Opinions. You begin by saying: "In my experience in the process of adequate due diligence, the underwriters should gather and review the following types of information. The contents and scope of this review may vary greatly depending on the issuer, but in general, these are the categories that should be considered." In your expert report in the AMF case MR. GLUCKOW: 324? MR. LEWIS: 324. BY MR. LEWIS: Q you used the same words except that instead of "should be considered," you had used the words "must be considered." A. Semantics. Q. Is there any significance to the |

| | Page 126 | | Page 128 |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | correct to say "should" than "must"? | 2 | to get at. |
| 3 | A. I candidly can't answer that. I | 3 | Q. Okay. Would you agree with the |
| 4 | think of I thought of the I tried to | 4 | general proposition that in due diligence an |
| 5 | conceive of the thought and I really didn't | 5 | underwriter should be skeptical of rosy outlooks |
| 6 | refer to this much past the prior report, | 6 | by the issuer's management? |
| 7 | much past kind of using it for form, and I knew | 7 | MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the |
| 8 | there was some boilerplate that would be | 8 | form. Vague and ambiguous. Asked and |
| 9 | acceptable to be used in the beginning, and then | 9 | answered. |
| 10 | I kind of just went ahead and drafted as I saw | 10 | You can answer. |
| 11 | fit. | 11 | THE WITNESS: I don't think |
| 12 | Q. In the same Paragraph 6, the | 12 | just because someone is optimistic |
| 13 | next page | 13 | doesn't necessitate skepticism. We've |
| 14 | A. On AMF or Adams? | 14 | come to learn to live with rapid growth |
| 15 | Q. In Adams. | 15 | in our once we got out of the '60s |
| 16 | Well, let's look at do you | 16 | and '70s, rapid growth was a big part |
| 17 | have AMF there? | 17 | of our lives. So I would have to say |
| 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: We have both, 321 | 18 | even though intuitively I would agree |
| 19 | and 324. | 19 | with you, I think the right answer is |
| 20 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 20 | no. |
| 21 | Q. In AMF, among the steps you | 21 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 22 | listed was number 6: "Review of work performed | 22 | Q. Now, in Paragraph 6(C)(2) of |
| 23 | or reports prepared by underwriters' counsel and | 23 | your report, you state that "An extensive due |
| 24 | the issuer's auditors." | 24 | diligence outline and materials request list was |
| 25 | Your Paragraph 6 in this case | 25 | prepared and presented to company management at |
| | Page 107 | | D 120 |
| _ | Page 127 | | Page 129 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | simply read: "Meeting with the issuer's counsel | 2 | the organizational meeting." |
| 3 | and public accountants." | 3 | Just to make sure we are on the |
| 4 | Can you explain whether there was | 4 | same page: Are you referring there to Exhibit |
| 5 | a difference that caused you to change your | 5 | 153? Specifically, to the due diligence due |
| 6 | report? | 6 | diligence outline and request list that begins |
| 7 | MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the | 7 | at Page 8741? |
| 8 | characterization. BY MR. LEWIS: | 8 | A. Yes, that is to what I was |
| 9 10 | | 9 | referring. |
| 11 | Q. Is there any significance to the change in terminology between the two reports? | 10 | Q. And would it be fair to say that |
| 12 | A. I thought in that the way I | 11 12 | there was no specific request in the due |
| 13 | said it in 321 was a better representation of | 12 | diligence outline or materials request for data relating to either Costco or gray marketing? |
| 14 | what the process really is. | 13 14 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 15 | Q. Can you explain that thought? | 15 | extent the document speaks for itself. |
| 16 | A. Because it occurred to me and | 16 | You can answer. |
| 17 | again, I didn't think it was a major thing it | 17 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. If |
| 18 | sort of occurred to me that you weren't | 18 | I could add, in this type of a list it |
| 19 | necessarily just reviewing their work, you were | 19 | doesn't surprise me at all that there |
| 20 | meeting with them, you were talking with them, | 20 | isn't specific caption items. It's |
| 21 | you were trying to understand, let's say, there | 21 | usually given to let the company know |
| 22 | was a patent issue or an inventory issue, so it | 22 | what the work ahead of them is. |
| 23 | wasn't just the report but you are trying to | 23 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 24 | have a dialog as part of your understanding of | 24 | Q. Okay. Is there any item on the |
| 25 | the company; that was what I was really trying | 25 | due diligence list or materials request list |
| | 1 0/ | | <u></u> |

| 1 | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 130 | | Page 132 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | that you believe should have caused the company | 2 | believe that the underwriters had a copy of |
| 3 | to provide the underwriters with any data | 3 | Exhibit 228 prior to the effective date of the |
| 4 | regarding either Costco or gray market | 4 | offering in their due diligence files? |
| 5 | distribution? | 5 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 6 | MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the | 6 | form. |
| 7 | form. The document speaks for itself. | 7 | You can answer. |
| 8 | It calls for speculation. | 8 | THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know. |
| 9 | You can answer. | 9 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: No. | 10 | Q. Let me show you Exhibit 197, and |
| 11 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 11 | this is a copy of what purports to be a Customer |
| 12 | Q. Let me show you Exhibit 154, | 12 | Due Diligence Questionnaire completed with |
| 13 | previously marked, and this is a document with a | 13 | respect to Edwin Watts. Have you reviewed this |
| 14 | file folder tab Organization Meeting and some | 14 | as part of your expert work in this case? |
| 15 | handwritten notes that may be beyond my eyesight | 15 | A. Yes, it was in the material that |
| 16 | or cryptography skills at this point. | 16 | I was provided. |
| 17 | A. I'm with you. | 17 | Q. If you had been conducted |
| 18 | Q. Did you review a version of | 18 | strike that. |
| 19 | Exhibit 154 in the course of your work? | 19 | Do you have any reason to believe |
| 20 | A. I did see this document. | 20 | that Mr. Watts was asked any specific questions |
| 21 | Q. Did you work your way through it | 21 | about Costco in the course of his interview, |
| 22 | at the time? | 22 | which was, according to this date, on April 21, |
| 23 | A. To the best of my ability. | 23 | 1998? |
| 24 | Q. Did you find any reference in it | 24 | MR. GLUCKOW: The document speaks |
| 25 | that you thought was to gray marketing or Costco | 25 | for itself. |
| | | | |
| 1 | Page 131 | | Page 133 |
| | Page 131 | | Page 133 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the | 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. | 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. | 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. | 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: | 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and make sure you read it before you answer. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the company you are checking on. Sometimes they are |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and make sure you read it before you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the company you are checking on. Sometimes they are expansive; sometimes they are not. Usually the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and make sure you read it before you answer. (Witness reviewing document.) THE WITNESS: I don't recall | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the company you are checking on. Sometimes they are expansive; sometimes they are not. Usually the questionnaire is, you know, an outline, a way to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and make sure you read it before you answer. (Witness reviewing document.) | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the company you are checking on. Sometimes they are expansive; sometimes they are not. Usually the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution? MR. GLUCKOW: Object to the extent the document speaks for itself. You can answer. THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Let me show you what has been marked as Exhibit 228. Did you review Exhibit 228 in the course of your work? A. I've seen this article before, so the answer is yes. Q. If you just look at the last page of the document, you'll see there's a reference to Edwin Watts and some commentary about various subjects. Did you read that paragraph? MR. GLUCKOW: Take your time and make sure you read it before you answer. (Witness reviewing document.) THE WITNESS: I don't recall reading that paragraph. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III You can answer. THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge of that question or response to it. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And you have no knowledge of there being questions asked that are not contained or commented on in this document itself? A. I could respond that it would be unusual that the conversation would just would you mind answering the following nine questions; but I certainly couldn't support that, I mean, cite any evidence of that. Q. Why do you say it would be unusual? A. Well, the purpose of these type of exercises is to engage the person you are talking to in some sort of a dialog about the company you are checking on. Sometimes they are expansive; sometimes they are not. Usually the questionnaire is, you know, an outline, a way to memorialize the conversation, but, hopefully, |

| | Page 134 | | Page 136 | ٦ |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| , | | 1 _ | | |
| | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | Ì |
| 2 3 | no evidence to prove or no documentation to prove that that's what took place. | 2 3 | Wasserstein Perella Securities and Dresdner | |
| | | _ | Kleinwort Wasserstein. Did either of those | 1 |
| 4 | Q. In your experience, is it | 4 | firms have a practice of disposing of notes of | ı |
| 5 6 | customary for notes to be taken during customer | 5 | due diligence after an IPO? | |
| 7 | questionnaires? A. Customary, yes. | 6 7 | A. Not a specific policy, no.Q. Let me start with a broad | |
| 8 | A. Customary, yes.Q. Are you aware of any customary | 8 | question, and if I need to, I'll go to more | |
| 9 | practices in the industry with respect to the | 9 | specific ones. | ľ |
| 10 | disposition of drafts and notes that were made | 10 | We've talked several times about | ľ |
| 11 | prior to an IPO? | 11 | the Customer Due Diligence Questionnaires. Did | ļ |
| 12 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 12 | you find reference to either gray marketing or | |
| 13 | form. I also object to the extent it's | 13 | Costco distribution appearing in any of the | |
| 14 | overbroad. | 14 | customer due diligence questionnaires? | |
| 15 | Do you mean at any point in his | 15 | A. I don't believe I did. | |
| 16 | career? Are you talking about a | 16 | Q. Would you like to see them now | ŀ |
| 17 | specific time frame? | 17 | to check your answer, or | |
| 18 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: The documents speak | 1 |
| 19 | Q. Let's talk about the period | 19 | for themselves. | |
| 20 | since 1998, do you believe that it is customary | 20 | THE WITNESS: I don't think I | |
| 21 | in the industry for underwriters to dispose of | 21 | need to check my answer. | |
| 22 | notes that they made during the due diligence | 22 | BY MR. LEWIS: | |
| 23 | process? | 23 | Q. Okay. | - |
| 24 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same | 24 | A. I'm not necessarily ready for a | l |
| 25 | objections. | 25 | memory test, but I believe that's the case; I'm | |
| | | | | ľ |
| | | | | 7 |
| | Page 135 | | Page 137 | |
| 1 | Page 135 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 20.000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. | |
| 1 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 | |
| 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 | |
| 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? | |
| 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a more evolving practice. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring to. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a more evolving practice. Q. So that by 1998 your people were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring to. Q. Do you know when this was | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a more evolving practice. Q. So that by 1998 your people were more careful to do what you said | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring to. Q. Do you know when this was prepared, "this" being Exhibit 210? | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a more evolving practice. Q. So that by 1998 your people were more careful to do what you said A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring to. Q. Do you know when this was prepared, "this" being Exhibit 210? A. I don't know the specific date. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say specific to due diligence process. There certainly was a movement among all of our client compliance departments to be much more cognizant of what we kept and didn't keep and at what point, you know, like how long you have to keep your tax-return-type standards. So I think people were more careful to keep what they were supposed to keep and more careful to keep not to keep what didn't need to be kept. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. And when did that begin? A. I couldn't give you a specific date, but it was an evolving thing throughout certainly throughout the '90s, it would be a more evolving practice. Q. So that by 1998 your people were more careful to do what you said | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III confident that that's the case. Q. Okay. In Paragraph 5 on Page 3 of your expert report, Exhibit 321 A. I'm sorry, Paragraph? Q. 5. A. Which is on Page 3. Okay. Q. "The underwriters prepared lists of potential investor questions," dash, "in my experience this was always an effective mechanism for raising and responding to relevant issues." When you wrote that, were you referring to Exhibit 210? A. That's correct. Q. Were there any other lists of investor questions that you were referring to in Paragraph 5 of your opinion? A. This is what I was referring to. Q. Do you know when this was prepared, "this" being Exhibit 210? | |

| | | 1 | | п |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| | Page 138 | | Page 140 | |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | Ì |
| 2 | investors. | 2 | prior to pricing, street practice is to have a | ĺ |
| 3 | Q. Sometime by June of 1998? | 3 | so-called bringdown due diligence call where you | ١ |
| 4 | A. By June, I don't we can look | 4 | just make sure there are no you try to ensure | ١ |
| 5 | at the road show schedule and have an exact | 5 | there are no issues I don't know if the word | ١ |
| 6 | date, but I don't recall it. | 6 | is material, but no significant change between | ١ |
| 7 | Q. Would you agree with me that | 7 | what you know and as you go to become effective | ١ |
| 8 | nothing in Exhibit 210 refers either to gray | 8 | the next morning. And this looks to me | ١ |
| 9 | marketing or to Costco? | 9 | | ŀ |
| 10 | | 10 | appears to be the notes from that type of | 1 |
| | MR. GLUCKOW: The document speaks | | meeting. | |
| 11 | for itself. | 11 | Q. Now, at the companies that | ı |
| 12 | You can answer. | 12 | you've worked at, is bringdown due diligence | I |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 13 | recorded more formally than by a set of notes? | 1 |
| 14 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 14 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | ŀ |
| 15 | Q. It is correct that there's no | 15 | form. | 1 |
| 16 | reference? | 16 | You can answer. | ı |
| 17 | A. It is correct that there's no | 17 | THE WITNESS: No. I mean, I | ŀ |
| 18 | reference. | 18 | guess I would have assumed maybe the | |
| 19 | Q. Let me show you what has been | 19 | notes were typed up, but basically this | |
| 20 | marked as Exhibit 204, a memo to the file from | 20 | is the form I'd be used to. | ı |
| 21 | Joe Hoffman, dated June 25, 1998. Do you recall | 21 | It is often pro forma, if that's | |
| 22 | this document? | 22 | the you know. Unless there's some | |
| 23 | A. I do. | 23 | significant issue that comes up. In | |
| 24 | Q. Do you have any understanding of | 24 | many cases, you know, people are on the | |
| 25 | how this document came to be in the | 25 | road at different places; often the | ľ |
| | | | 1 | |
| | | | |] |
| | Page 139 | | Page 141 | _ |
| 1 | Page 139 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | Page 141 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | · · | |
| | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page | 1 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in | |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? | | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London | |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if | 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they | |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned | 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's | 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy | 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is a two-page exhibit, the first page of which is | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? A. I don't believe we ever had I | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is a two-page exhibit, the first page of which is entitled Additional Due Diligence Material. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? A. I don't believe we ever had I mean, we were certainly in touch talking about | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is a two-page exhibit, the first page of which is entitled Additional Due Diligence Material. Do you have any understanding of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? A. I don't believe we ever had I mean, we were certainly in touch talking about my progress and where you know, but I don't | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is a two-page exhibit, the first page of which is entitled Additional Due Diligence Material. Do you have any understanding of what this exhibit is? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? A. I don't believe we ever had I mean, we were certainly in touch talking about my progress and where you know, but I don't it didn't really arise. I mean, the answer | |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III underwriters' files as reflected by the page number in the bottom right-hand corner? A. As a matter of practice, if there is dialog usually between the assigned examiner of the commission and usually issuer's counsel, they'll provide the underwriters a copy of any information that comes of that source. Q. From your review of documents and testimony, are you aware of any dialog between Adams and the underwriters with respect to this exhibit? A. I'm not. Q. Are you aware of any communications between the underwriters and the SEC related to this exhibit, based on your review of the transcripts and exhibits? A. I don't recall any. Q. I would next like to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 159, and this is a two-page exhibit, the first page of which is entitled Additional Due Diligence Material. Do you have any understanding of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III management you know, the CFO is in San Francisco, the CEO is in London making presentations and sometimes they are not even on the call, and it's usually just an update of where you are in terms of the effectiveness, has it gotten NASD approval, that kind of stuff. So this doesn't surprise me, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any understanding as to whose notes these are? A. I could guess, but I don't know. Q. As a general proposition, as you were doing your work looking at documents and transcripts, if you had a question about the documents, did you from time to time consult with Simpson Thacher about the significance of a document or the author of a document? A. I don't believe we ever had I mean, we were certainly in touch talking about my progress and where you know, but I don't | |

| | | <u> </u> | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 142 | | Page 144 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | Q. Okay. Is it your understanding | 2 | that there's nothing that I'm not |
| 3 | that these are notes of a telephone conversation | 3 | seeing. Correct? |
| 4 | rather than that of a meeting? | 4 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 5 | A. That would be my surmise, but | 5 | Q. I think your assumption is |
| 6 | it's only that. | 6 | absolutely correct. |
| 7 | Q. And what is that based on? | 7 | A. With that assumption, the answer |
| 8 | A. Based on the way it's almost | 8 | is there's nothing referring to either of those |
| 9 | always a so-called bringdown call because the | 9 | subjects. |
| 10 | people are not usually in the same place. | 10 | (Whereupon, documents were |
| 11 | Q. Is there, in your experience, a | 11 | marked, for identification purposes, as |
| 12 | standard format for a bringdown call? | 12 | Exhibit 325 and Exhibit 326.) |
| 13 | A. No. In my experience, it's | 13 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 14 | quite informal; it's tick off three or four | 14 | Q. I'm going to hand you two |
| 15 | points, anything we ought to be aware of. It | 15 | exhibits together, 325 and 326. |
| 16 | can be combined with an update of where you are | 16 | MR. LEWIS: Off the record. |
| 17 | in terms of effectiveness, other forms need to | 17 | (A discussion was held off the |
| 18 | be filed. So generally it kind of takes this | 18 | record.) |
| 19 | form. | 19 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 20 | Q. Did you see anything in this | 20 | Q. 325 is a copy of documents that |
| 21 | document when you reviewed it that you believe | 21 | you referred to in your rebuttal report and some |
| 22 | referred either to Costco or to gray marketing | 22 | of those pages there were a few pages not |
| 23 | more broadly? | 23 | included because some of the Adams advertising |
| 24 | MR. GLUCKOW: The document speaks | 24 | materials were double-sided and someone, not |
| 25 | for itself. | 25 | doing their due diligence in the copying |
| | D (10) | | D 146 |
| | Page 143 | | Page 145 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | But you can answer. | 2 | process, left those pages out when the document |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: No. | 3 | was compiled, so those missing pages are |
| 4 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 4 | contained in Exhibit 326. |
| 5 | Q. I previously showed you Exhibit | 5 | You'll see that the documents |
| 6 | 160 which was the July 14, 1998, summary of due | 6 | that are compiled in this exhibit include due |
| 7 | diligence conducted by the Lehman Brothers Adams | 7 | diligence questionnaires, sales data, |
| 8 | Golf team. | 8 | advertising data, and, to the best of my |
| 9 | Did you see anything in Exhibit | 9 | knowledge, these are all the pages that you |
| 10 | 198 which referred to either gray marketing or | 10 | referred to in your rebuttal report. |
| 11 | Costco distribution? | 11 | MR. GLUCKOW: Just to be clear, |
| 12 | MR. GLUCKOW: You said 198. | 12 | we haven't obviously checked to make |
| 13 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 13 | sure this is the case, but what you are |
| 14 | Q. I'm sorry; that was the one that | 14 | saying is when you combine 325 and 326, |
| 15 | has been variously numbered as 160 and 198. | 15 | all of the documents cited in the |
| 16 | So the question is, since you | 16 | rebuttal report should be included in |
| 17 | have 160 in front of you, whether you saw | 17 | 325 and 326. |
| 18 | anything in the summary of due diligence | 18 | MR. LEWIS: They should be. And |
| 19 | contained in Exhibit 160 that you believe | 19 | the ones in 326 are all |
| 20 | referred to either Costco or gray marketing | 20 | advertising-type documents which go |
| 21 | issues? | 21 | sort of into the span of documents in |
| 22 23 | MR. GLUCKOW: The document speaks for itself. | 22 23 | the middle that have Adams Tight Lies advertisements and humorous items about |
| 24 | | 23 24 | Barney and a press release here and |
| 25 | But you can answer it. THE WITNESS: I'm assuming Page 3 | 24 25 | there. |
| <i>LJ</i> | THE WITHESS. THI assuming rage 3 | 43 | uicic. |

Page 146 Page 148 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 1 2 2 determine how the information came into Lehman's BY MR. LEWIS: 3 At the risk of beating the horse 3 possession that caused someone to write the 4 4 words that appear on the page I read to you? dead, again, to your knowledge, is there 5 anyplace in any of the pages referred to in your 5 MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the 6 6 rebuttal report which touched on gray marketing form. It mischaracterizes the 7 or Costco distribution? 7 testimony. 8 MR. GLUCKOW: And again, you are 8 But you can answer. focused on the UND production which we THE WITNESS: I would add that, 9 9 10 have in front of us and 325 and 326, 10 you know, since this is post IPO, it's highly plausible that's -- that this 11 not on deposition testimony? 11 12 MR. LEWIS: That is correct. 12 came through an investor or type of question to the research analyst. 13 THE WITNESS: Then I would say ---13 BY MR. LEWIS: 14 I was thinking aloud; excuse me. Let 14 Can you explain why you say 15 me just make sure. 15 Q. that? 16 To the best of my knowledge, that 16 17 is correct. 17 Well, what happens often is that A. as you are talking about the story or the stock, 18 BY MR. LEWIS: 18 particularly if the stock is, you know, either 19 Moving forward chronologically, 19 O. 20 I will show you Exhibit 215 which is a Lehman 20 going up or down a lot and therefore is the 21 Brothers memorandum, facsimile, suggested 21 subject, research analysts are constantly talking to their customers who are the --22 outline, and list of concerns. The transmittal 22 they're counterpart analysts or portfolio date on the fax is July 29, 1998. 23 23 managers at usually large financial 24 Did you review this document? 24 25 I did see this document. 25 institutions, or it could be an officer manager A. Page 149 Page 147 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 1 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III 2 And did you see, on the third 2 someplace, and a lot of the process is feedback, 3 3 page of the exhibit, the item "Discounting and somebody said, you know, what do you think is going on? I heard, you know, from my golf Tight Lies have been seen in many Costcos for 4 4 5 \$146? How is product getting there? What is 5 pro or a guy at Fidelity asked me about... I 6 6 mean, in my experience a lot of the information Adams Golf doing about it?" 7 I have seen that. 7 is actually incoming, so it could very well have A. 8 8 come through that way as well. Have you seen any documents that 9 explain to you how that information came into 9 Q. Let me show you what I've marked the possession of the underwriters? previously as 217. This is a teleconference 10 10 I had not seen a document script dated August 5, 1998, sent to Olga 11 11 Pulido-Crowe and Pat Walravens, 12 documentary of it, no. 12 13 Do you recall any testimonial 13 W-A-L-R-A-V-E-N-S, from the desk of Patty Q. Walsh. And at Page 40671, you will see a 14 evidence? 14 15 It is my recollection that 15 reference, under the heading of Discounting, to A. "Tight Lies have been seen in many Costcos for either Picchi or Lantier, who were the two 16 16 Lehman equity research analysts, did refer to 17 \$146. How is the product getting there?" and an 17 18 this in their deposition testimony. I can't 18 answer is given. 19 tell you which one. I would say Lantier, if I 19 Does anything in this document --20 had to make a determination. 20 strike that.

Do you have any reason to

believe that the information that's contained

on Page 40670, which is similar to the information we saw in the "concerns" box on

Exhibit 215, came as a surprise to the

21

22

23

24

25

L-A-N-T-I-E-R. P-I-C-C-I, I

you are unaware of any means by which one could

So that other than the

deposition transcripts of the equity analysts,

think. It may be P-I-C-C-H-I.

21

22

23

24

25

| | | т— | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 150 | | Page 152 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | underwriters? | 2 | THE WITNESS: Absolutely not. |
| 3 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 3 | There's no reference that that happened |
| 4 | form. Just to clean up the record, I | 4 | in any of the material that I reviewed. |
| 5 | think you said 40670. I'm assuming you | 5 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 6 | must have meant 40671. | 6 | Q. Do you recall Mr. Lantier being |
| 7 | MR. LEWIS: I tried to say | 7 | unable to date precisely when his pro shop |
| 8 | 40671. I probably sputtered it. | 8 | survey calls were made? |
| 9 | MR. GLUCKOW: And then you are | 9 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. The |
| 10 | comparing the language at the bottom of | 10 | deposition record speaks for itself. |
| 11 | 40671 with the language in 215? | 11 | You can answer. |
| 12 | MR. LEWIS: Correct. | 12 | THE WITNESS: I do recall that in |
| 13 | MR. GLUCKOW: And what's the | 13 | the deposition. |
| 14 | question? | 14 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 15 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 15 | Q. Would it be fair to say that to |
| 16 17 | Q. Looking at those two documents | 16 | the best of your recollection Mr. Lantier |
| 18 | together, do you recall strike that. | 17 | couldn't speak to that subject one way or the |
| 18 | Seeing those two documents together, do you have any recollection of any | 18 19 | other? |
| 20 | explanation that you learned during your | 20 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same objection. You can answer. |
| 21 | investigation in this case of how the | 21 | THE WITNESS: I don't have an |
| 22 | underwriters came to learn of gray marketing | 22 | appropriate response. I don't know. |
| 23 | distribution through Costco after the IPO? | 23 | MR. LEWIS: Off the record. |
| 24 | MR. GLUCKOW: Vague and | 24 | (A discussion was held off the |
| 25 | ambiguous. | 25 | record.) |
| | 4 | | 100014.7 |
| | | | |
| | Page 151 | | Page 153 |
| 1 | | 1 | · |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. | 1 2 | Page 153 EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was |
| | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. | | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only | 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor | 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that | 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco distribution or gray market distribution through | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. As I said in my rebuttal report, I did review |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco distribution or gray market distribution through the pro shop survey before the IPO date? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. As I said in my rebuttal report, I did review that report as well, I just don't remember. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco distribution or gray market distribution through the pro shop survey before the IPO date? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. As I said in my rebuttal report, I did review that report as well, I just don't remember. Q. Did you review this did you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco distribution or gray market distribution through the pro shop survey before the IPO date? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. As I said in my rebuttal report, I did review that report as well, I just don't remember. Q. Did you review this did you review the entirety of Mr. Grace's report in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III But you can answer. Asked and answered as well. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Again, I can only surmise that it came through investor feedback or through the surveys that the equity research analyst did. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. You referred earlier to the surveys. I'll place before you a copy of Exhibit 180, previously marked, and specifically I'll direct your attention to Page 27. Is the survey that appears on that page the survey you are referring to in your testimony? A. Yes. Q. And do you have any reason to believe that Lehman learned of Costco distribution or gray market distribution through the pro shop survey before the IPO date? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Vague and ambiguous. Asked and | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as Exhibit 327.) BY MR. LEWIS: Q. I've marked as Exhibit 327 a document with the heading Exhibit VII. Do you recognize this document? A. I've seen the document. Q. And where have you seen it? A. It was within the underwriter production, I believe. It was within the documents that I referred to in Schedule B. Q. Of your A. Of 321. Q. Well, to be fair to you, sir, this was an exhibit to the expert report of Mr. Grace. A. Okay. I accept that as a fact. As I said in my rebuttal report, I did review that report as well, I just don't remember. Q. Did you review this did you |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 154 | | Page 156 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | word. I read it as something related to the | 2 | were listed by Mr. Grace in this report? |
| 3 | case, related to what I you know, to what my | 3 | MR. GLUCKOW: You mean had he |
| 4 | role was as well. | 4 | seen reference to them in the materials |
| 5 | MR. GLUCKOW: But in terms of the | 5 | in Exhibit A or Exhibit B, rather, |
| 6 | entirety, just to be clear, as the | 6 | to his report which included all the |
| 7 | attachment to the rebuttal report | 7 | depositions and the exhibits to the |
| 8 | indicates, we sent Mr. Necarsulmer | 8 | depositions, or has he seen them in |
| 9 | copies of all the expert reports | ğ | this format (indicating)? I'm not sure |
| 10 | including the exhibits so this | 10 | what the question is. |
| 11 | (indicating) would have been included | 11 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 12 | in what we sent Mr. Necarsulmer. | 12 | Q. Let me try a simple question. |
| 13 | MR. LEWIS: Right; but he | 13 | MR. LEWIS: Off the record. |
| 14 | wouldn't have seen it before it was | 14 | (A discussion was held off the |
| 15 | issued. | 15 | record.) |
| 16 | MR. GLUCKOW: Correct. | 16 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 17 | MR. LEWIS: And this was in the | 17 | Q. Let me take you to Page 2 of |
| 18 | oh. | 18 | this of this report. You'll see that under |
| 19 | MR. GLUCKOW: I'm saying in the | 19 | the date of May 6, 1998, according to this |
| 20 | rebuttal report. | 20 | table, Pro Golf Discount in Fairfax, Virginia, |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Right. That's why | 21 | canceled an order because Adams' clubs are in |
| 22 | I said in Exhibit B to my rebuttal | 22 | Costco. |
| 23 | report. Or Exhibit A, I guess it is, | 23 | Do you recall seeing a reference |
| 24 | in my rebuttal report. | 24 | to that in any of the depositions or exhibits |
| 25 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 25 | that you had read in your work? |
| | | | |
| | | 1 | |
| | Page 155 | | Page 157 |
| 1 | | 1 | |
| I 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 1 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. |
| 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to |
| 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco |
| 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. |
| 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. Q. And the solutions purportedly | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing reference to that in the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. Q. And the solutions purportedly implemented by Adams Golf as also listed on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing reference to that in the A. I do remember seeing that in the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. Q. And the solutions purportedly implemented by Adams Golf as also listed on this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing reference to that in the A. I do remember seeing that in the correspondence between the two. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. Q. And the solutions purportedly implemented by Adams Golf as also listed on this? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing reference to that in the A. I do remember seeing that in the correspondence between the two. Q. June 1, 1998, according to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. Did you read through this? A. I did look through this. Q. Did you specifically look at the first six pages of this? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. He said he's read the entire document, but you can answer. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Well, when you read the entire document, did you have any reason in your own mind to focus on the first six pages of this? A. I had no particular reason to do that, no. Q. Did you read through the complaints to Adams Golf regarding Costco that are listed in the chronological order that Mr. Grace listed them in this report? A. I did. Q. And the solutions purportedly implemented by Adams Golf as also listed on this? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III A. I don't. Q. On May 7, 1998, according to Mr. Grace, Adams Golf clubs were found in Costco in Modesto, California. Do you recall having seen a reference to that in your work? A. I can't recall. Q. May 21, according to Mr. Grace, Pro Golf complains that Costco is selling Adams Golf product. Do you recall having learned of that in your investigation? A. I don't recall that either. Q. On May 29, 1998, according to the table, "WDC Mackenzie reports that a new shipment of Adams Golf clubs have hit Canadian Costcos." Do you remember seeing reference to that in the A. I do remember seeing that in the correspondence between the two. |

| 1 | D 150 | | Page 160 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| , | Page 158 | _ | Page 160 |
| | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | Costco." | 2 | supplied to Ms. Pulido-Crowe? |
| 3 | Do you recall having learned that | 3 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. It mischaracterizes the record. |
| 4 | in your work in the case prior to seeing | 4 | |
| 5 | Dr. Grace's Mr. Grace's report? | 5 | It mischaracterizes the testimony. |
| 6 | A. I don't recall that | 6 | You can answer. THE WITNESS: If I recall from |
| 7 | specifically, no. | 7 | |
| 8 | Q. All right. How about the item | 8 | Pulido-Crowe's deposition, the his |
| 9 | for June 8, 1998; Merrifield Golf in Fairfax, | 9 | characterization of the problem was |
| 10 | Virginia, called regarding Adams clubs seen at | 10 | that there was some problem with |
| 11 | Costco." | 11 | Costco, they didn't believe it was |
| 12 | Do you recall learning that? | 12 | significant, and they were handling |
| 13 | A. I don't recall learning that. | 13 | it. I mean, that was my summary of |
| 14 | Q. June 11, 1998, Jack Tone, | 14 | what I believe was said. And I don't |
| 15 | T-O-N-E, Golf in Ripon, R-I-P-O-N, California, | 15 | know that this is enough to tell me |
| 16 | called regarding Adams' clubs in Costco. | 16 | that that's different, but it is a |
| 17 | Do you remember seeing that? | 17 | little vague, my memory of same. |
| 18 | A. I don't. | 18 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 19 | Q. June 24, Green River Golf Club | 19 | Q. I'll show you this in a second. |
| 20 | in Corona, California, called to complain about | 20 | At Page 47 of her deposition Ms. Pulido-Crowe |
| 21 | clubs in Costco. Do you recall learning that? | 21 | was asked the question: "How did you determine |
| 22 | A. I don't recall learning that | 22 | whether the gray marketing was material or not?" |
| 23 | either. | 23 | "Answer: We of course first |
| 24 | Q. June 25, two items, "Pro Golf | 24 | spoke to the company, talked about the |
| 25 | Discount in Boise, Idaho, called regarding their | 25 | distribution channels. The issue of the |
| | | | |
| | Page 159 | | Page 161 |
| 1 | Page 159 EDWARD NECARSULMER III | 1 | · |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." A. I don't recall that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying MR. GLUCKOW: The inference of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." A. I don't recall that. Q. Would you agree that the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying MR. GLUCKOW: The inference of your question is that that is the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." A. I don't recall that. Q. Would you agree that the portions of the summary that we've just gone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying MR. GLUCKOW: The inference of your question is that that is the record as to the conversations. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." A. I don't recall that. Q. Would you agree that the portions of the summary that we've just gone over contain information that was different with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying MR. GLUCKOW: The inference of your question is that that is the record as to the conversations. MR. LEWIS: I haven't asked a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III problem selling clubs due to Costco," and "Centerville Golf Center in Centerville, Virginia, called to complain again about Costco." A. I do recall I do recall the one in Idaho. I don't recall the other one in California. Q. Just a couple more. A. Fine. Q. June 29, Tierneys, T-I-E-R-N-E-Y-S, Golf in Walnut Creek, California, called regarding a customer returning clubs after seeing Adams Golf clubs in Costco. A. I do recall seeing that. Q. July 3, '98, "Customer requests Adams Golf hat offer after buying clubs at a Costco in Livonia, Michigan." A. I don't recall that. Q. Would you agree that the portions of the summary that we've just gone | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III discussion of Costco came up because of discount warehouses. And we asked, was it much of a problem? They said no, there was it was an isolated incident I recall something to that effect. My interpretation was it was an isolated incident and that that person or distributor, whoever it was that got those clubs there, they were going to pursue that and put an end to that." MR. GLUCKOW: Are you representing to the witness that that is the only time that Ms. Pulido-Crowe testified about her discussions with the company concerning Costco and/or gray marketing? I certainly hope not. MR. LEWIS: I'm not saying it's the only time, but I'm not saying MR. GLUCKOW: The inference of your question is that that is the record as to the conversations. |

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 162 | | Page 164 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 | know, one event. If they were coming |
| 3 | Q. My question is | 3 | from multiple sources, I think it |
| 4 | MR. GLUCKOW: I suggest | 4 | wouldn't be. |
| 5 | otherwise, but proceed. | 5 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 6 | MR. LEWIS: You suggest I have a | 6 | Q. All right. Do you have any |
| 7 | question out there? | 7 | reason to believe based on your investigation in |
| 8 | MR. GLUCKOW: No. I suggest that | 8 | this case as part of your expert work let me |
| 9 | the way you just read that into the | 9 | reframe that. |
| 10 | record following the exchange that we | 10 | Do you have any reason to believe |
| 11 | have had is misleading. But proceed. | 11 | based on your work in this case that the |
| 12 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 12 | underwriters reviewed the complaints that were |
| 13 | Q. The first question is, was that | 13 | listed between the dates of May 6th and I guess |
| 14 | the testimony of Ms. Pulido-Crowe that you were | 14 | I stopped reading at July 3? |
| 15 | referring to? | 15 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 16 | A. Partially. | 16 | form. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Is there something else | 17 | You can answer. |
| 18 | that you recall? | 18 | THE WITNESS: I know that they |
| 19 | You have the volume in front of | 19 | certainly I shouldn't say |
| 20 | you and an index to it, if there's something | 20 | certainly. I believe they knew about |
| 21 | else you would like to refer my attention to. | 21 | the Canadian one. And I don't know to |
| 22 | Do you recall her ever telling | 22 | the extent they knew about the others. |
| 23 | strike that. | 23 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 24 | Do you recall her ever saying | 24 | Q. Forgive me if I'm asking you |
| 25 | that Barney Adams told her that Costco | 25 | something I've already asked you. Did I read |
| | | | |
| | Page 163 | | Page 165 |
| 1 | | 1 | - |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't know | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that prior to as a result of your work in this |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't know MR. GLUCKOW: Asked and answered | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that prior to as a result of your work in this case prior to the receipt of Dr. Grace's or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't know MR. GLUCKOW: Asked and answered as well. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that prior to as a result of your work in this case prior to the receipt of Dr. Grace's or Mr. Grace's report? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't know MR. GLUCKOW: Asked and answered as well. THE WITNESS: I just don't know. If these were all coming from the same source, then I suspect you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that prior to as a result of your work in this case prior to the receipt of Dr. Grace's or Mr. Grace's report? MR. GLUCKOW: He's on Page 6. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III distribution was other than an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. THE WITNESS: I don't recall him saying her saying anything besides that, no. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Okay. Would you agree that the chart to Mr. Grace's report reflects that by the time of the IPO, Costco distribution, whatever it was, was not an isolated incident? MR. GLUCKOW: It mischaracterizes the record. It mischaracterizes the testimony. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I mean, I just don't know MR. GLUCKOW: Asked and answered as well. THE WITNESS: I just don't know. If these were all coming from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you the entry for July 8, 1998: "Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco"? MR. GLUCKOW: The question is did you already ask him about that one? MR. LEWIS: Right. MR. GLUCKOW: The record will speak for itself, but THE WITNESS: I don't know; I just don't. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Whether or not I read it to you before, the entry here in Mr. Grace's report for July 8, 1998, states that Golfdom in McLean, Virginia, called regarding a credit for clubs they had purchased at Costco. Do you remember learning of that prior to as a result of your work in this case prior to the receipt of Dr. Grace's or Mr. Grace's report? MR. GLUCKOW: He's on Page 6. THE WITNESS: I'll get to Page |

| | | Γ. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 166 | | Page 168 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | MR. LEWIS: Take your time. | 2 | err on the side of inclusion of fact rather than |
| 3 | MR. GLUCKOW: This one | 3 | exclusion of fact? |
| 4 | (indicating). | 4 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 5 | A. I don't I don't recall this | 5 | characterization of the report. |
| 6 | specific one. | 6 | But you can answer. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. Based on your work in | 7 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that's |
| 8 | this case, do you know of any reason why the | 8 | accurate. I think it's important to |
| 9 | complaints the written complaints that are | 9 | have a complete document, but I think |
| 10 | listed by Mr. Grace in his report would not have | 10 | part of making it a useful document, |
| 11 | been available to the underwriters as part of | 11 | and for the benefit of the investors, |
| 12 | their due diligence investigation if they had | 12 | is making sure that the the things |
| 13 | asked to see them? | 13 | that are the things that are |
| 14 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 14 | included are, you know, significant to |
| 15 | form. It assumes facts not in | 15 | the company, and I think one of the |
| 16 | evidence. | 16 | having gone through this drafting |
| 17 | You can answer. | 17 | process a million times, one of the |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't know why it | 18 | great problems is what do you put in, |
| 19 | wouldn't have been available had they | 19 | what do you put out, what do you leave |
| 20 | asked to see them. | 20 | out, and it does start you know, can |
| 21 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 21 | strike likely debate, and I think |
| 22 | Q. You don't know why it wouldn't | 22 | striking some balance is really the |
| 23 | have been? | 23 | goal. |
| 24 | A. Correct. | 24 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 25 | Q. Do you have any knowledge, as | 25 | Q. Would you agree that if by the |
| | | | |
| | Page 167 | | Page 169 |
| 1 | - | 1 | _ |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to |
| 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray |
| 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk |
| 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted by Lehman Brothers or any of the comanagers | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the time of the effective date that you just didn't know what the scope of gray marketing was? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted by Lehman Brothers or any of the comanagers during due diligence? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the time of the effective date that you just didn't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted by Lehman Brothers or any of the comanagers during due diligence? A. I have no knowledge of that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the time of the effective date that you just didn't know what the scope of gray marketing was? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. The |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted by Lehman Brothers or any of the comanagers during due diligence? A. I have no knowledge of that. Q. You have opined in your rebuttal report on the subject of the disclosure that was made in this case. Would you agree that in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the time of the effective date that you just didn't know what the scope of gray marketing was? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. The same objections, and it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III you sit here, that the underwriters contacted, as part of the due diligence investigation, any of the retailers who made the complaints about Costco that are listed between the dates of March 23, 1998, and July 8, 1998? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Are you asking him to compare this list of complaints with the list of customer calls that we've looked at previously? MR. LEWIS: Sure, if you want to; but none of them were on the list of customer calls, so I don't think he has to do that. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. Do you have any knowledge that any of the complaining retailers were contacted by Lehman Brothers or any of the comanagers during due diligence? A. I have no knowledge of that. Q. You have opined in your rebuttal report on the subject of the disclosure that was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III time of the IPO the underwriters were unable to make a studied assessment of the scope of the risk of gray marketing that the risk of gray marketing should have been included as a risk disclosure? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the form. Incomplete hypothetical. Assumes facts not in evidence. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't agree with that. I think, again, this is a process, you make a judgment as to those things you think are significant and relevant, and those are the things you include. BY MR. LEWIS: Q. What if you concluded by the time of the effective date that you just didn't know what the scope of gray marketing was? MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. The same objections, and it mischaracterizes the evidence. |

| | Page 170 | | Page 172 |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------|
| ١, | Page 170 | 1. | Page 172 |
| | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | that they did make a judgment, and | 2 | after the effective date of a registration |
| 3 | their judgment was that they did know | 3 | statement? |
| 4 5 | enough and it was not material. | 4 | A. I would have I would say yes, |
| | BY MR. LEWIS: | 5 | but it's more usual on the date. But it could |
| 6 | Q. When was that judgment made, to | 6 | be it could be shortly thereafter, not long |
| 7 8 | the best of your knowledge? | 7 | thereafter. |
| | A. Prior to the effective date of | 8 | Q. Are you aware of any limitation |
| 9 | the registration statement. I couldn't tell | 9 | to how long after the effective date a |
| 10 | you I couldn't make it any more specific than | 10 | prospectus can be stickered? |
| 11 | that. | 11 | A. I'm not aware of that, if there |
| 12 | Q. Prior to the Costco press | 12 | is one. |
| 13 | release? | 13 | Q. Do you believe that's a legal |
| 14 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 14 | issue rather than a practice issue? |
| 15 | form. It mischaracterizes the record. | 15 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection. It |
| 16 | It mischaracterizes the testimony. | 16 | calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 17 | You can answer. | 17 | But you can answer. |
| 18 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't know. I |
| 19 | Q. When I say the Costco press | 19 | don't know. |
| 20 | release, I mean the Adams June 9, 1998, press | 20 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 21 | release relating to Costco. | 21 | Q. Do you know if any consideration |
| 22 | A. I don't know I would make you | 22 | was given in this case to stickering the |
| 23 | know, the assumption I make from the data that I | 23 | registration statement? |
| 24 | reviewed is that that was their conclusion. | 24 | A. I don't know. |
| 25 | Q. But are you aware of any meeting | 25 | MR. LEWIS: Let's take a |
| | Page 171 | | Page 173 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | or meetings at which that conclusion was | 2 | five-minute break and I may be done. |
| 3 | reached? | 3 | (A recess was had from 3:46 p.m. |
| 4 | A. Not specifically, no. | 4 | to 4:00 p.m.; and then the proceedings |
| 5 | Q. Are you familiar with the term | 5 | continued as follows:) |
| 6 | stickering in the context of a prospectus or | 6 | BY MR, LEWIS: |
| 7 | registration statement? | 7 | Q. A point of clarification. When |
| 8 | A. I am. | 8 | we referred to a file memo from Joe Hoffman |
| 9 | · | 9 | relating to the SEC, I believe you used the term |
| 10 | Q. What does that term mean to you?A. You can add information via a | 10 | signing official at the SEC? |
| 11 | label, a long label, onto the cover of a | 11 | A. Examining official. |
| 12 | | 12 | |
| 13 | prospectus. Q. And under what circumstances in | 12 | Q. Examining? A. Sorry. |
| | | 14 | |
| 14 | your opinion does one sticker a registration | | Q. I think you actually used the |
| 15 | statement or a prospectus? | 15 | word sign at one point. Is that a synonym for |
| 16 | A. Some fact coming out subsequent | 16 | the examining official? MR. GLUCKOW: I don't recall |
| 17 | to the printing of that prospectus that, you | 17 | |
| 18 | know, you deem is material. Sometimes the SEC | 18 | those words being used, but the record |
| 19 | will ask you to sticker if something comes up. | 19 | will speak for itself. |
| 20 | If effectiveness gets delayed for some reason | 20 | THE WITNESS: Should I respond? |
| 21 | and, you know, a quarter ends or a contract gets | 21 | MR. GLUCKOW: Yes, if you |
| 22 | canceled or something like that, they'll often | 22 | THE WITNESS: If I said it, I |
| 23 | allow that as a or recommend that as a way to | 23 | didn't mean to say it. I meant to say |
| 24 | make sure the disclosure is complete. | 24 | examiner. |
| 25 | Q. Have you seen stickering done | 25 | BY MR. LEWIS: |

| r | | | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 174 | | Page 17 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | Q. A point of clarification. You | 2 | A. With the exception of WDC |
| 3 | have reached no conclusion as a result of your | 3 | Mackenzie, I don't. |
| 4 | work in this case with respect to issuer | 4 | MR. LEWIS: I have no further |
| 5 | disclosure obligations, is that fair? | 5 | questions at this time. |
| 6 | A. That is fair. | 6 | MR. GLUCKOW: Okay. |
| 7 | Q. In Exhibit 321 | 7 | I'm going to have a few |
| 8 | MR. GLUCKOW: Hold on; I've got | 8 | questions, but I just need five |
| 9 | the official copy here. | 9 | minutes, so if we can take a |
| 10 | MR. LEWIS: It's hopefully the | 10 | five-minute break. Is that okay? |
| 11 | last time we'll go back to it. | 11 | MR. LEWIS: Okay. I'll ask you |
| 12 13 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 12 | what you discussed with the witness. |
| 14 | Q in your enumeration of | 13 | MR. GLUCKOW: No, I'm going to |
| 15 | categories of things to be considered, Item 7 was: "Understanding of material outstanding | 14 | sit right here. I'm not going |
| 16 | litigation, regulatory or environmental | 15 | anywhere. |
| 17 | issues." | 16 | MR. LEWIS: Okay; fine. Take |
| 18 | Is it your opinion that the | 17 | your time. |
| 19 | underwriters had any obligation to evaluate the | 18 | MR. GLUCKOW: I just need to |
| 20 | litigation between Adams and Costco | 19 | compare two things quickly. |
| 21 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to the | 20 | MR. LEWIS: Take your time. |
| 22 | form. | 21 | (A recess was had from 4:04 a.m. |
| 23 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 22 | to 4:13 p.m.; and then the proceedings |
| 24 | Q for materiality? | 23 | continued as follows:) |
| 25 | A. No. Practice is that you ask | 24 | |
| | Page 175 | | Page 17 |
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 3 | you know, you ask that question of your counsel, and if they give you a clean bill of health on | 2 3 | (Whereupon, a document was marked, for identification purposes, as |
| 4 | what you've done so far, you typically keep | 4 | Exhibit 328.) |
| 5 | going. | 5 | Exhibit 326.) |
| 6 | Q. "Your counsel" meaning the | 6 | EXAMINATION |
| 7 | issuer's counsel or the underwriter's counsel? | 7 | |
| 8 | A. The underwriter's counsel. | 8 | BY MR. GLUCKOW: |
| 9 | Q. Going back to Exhibit-7 to | 9 | Q. This is a copy of the deposition |
| 10 | Mr. Grace's report, I take it that in the course | 10 | transcript of Olga Pulido-Crowe. Mr. Lewis |
| 11 | of your | 11 | mentioned this deposition during his examination |
| 12 | MR. GLUCKOW: Hold on, let me | 12 | and in fact read from it but didn't mark it, |
| 13 | just get it. | 13 | which is why I'm marking it now. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Got it. | 14 | Mr. Necarsulmer, let me ask you, |
| 15 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 15 | first, do you recall reviewing |
| | | | |
| 16 | Q. In the course of your work on | 16 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your |
| 17 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through | 16 17 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? |
| 17 18 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to | 16 17 18 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. |
| 17 18 19 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? | 16 17 18 19 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? |
| 17 18 19 20 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? A. I did not. | 16 17 18 19 20 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? A. Heavily. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any reason to | 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? A. Heavily. Q. Why? |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the underwriters were aware of the | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? A. Heavily. Q. Why? A. In my experience, the captain of |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the underwriters were aware of the identities of the retailers who complained to | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? A. Heavily. Q. Why? A. In my experience, the captain of the underwriting team takes particular weight |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. In the course of your work on this engagement, did you ever try to go through the exhibits to collect complaints related to gray marketing and line them up chronologically? A. I did not. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the underwriters were aware of the | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition as part of your work in this matter? A. I do. Q. Did you rely on her testimony? A. Heavily. Q. Why? A. In my experience, the captain of |

| | | , | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 178 | | Page 180 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | the top of Page 48, Line 6. | 2 | MR. GLUCKOW: I'm sorry, Page 78, |
| 3 | A. The top of Page 48, Line 6. | 3 | Line 3 through Line 6. |
| 4 | Q. The question is: "Do you recall | 4 | BY MR. GLUCKOW: |
| 5 | who spoke with Adams Golf about this issue?" | 5 | Q. The question is: "Did you have |
| 6 | And the answer is: "It would | 6 | any discussions with anyone at Adams concerning |
| 7 | have been Barney or Mark or I don't recall | 7 | the litigation between June 9 of '98 and July 9 |
| 8 | exactly who, but it was a group of many people | 8 | of '98?" |
| 9 | that were that would have been present." | 9 | The answer is: "I believe we |
| 10 | Do you recall reading that when | 10 | (sic) did." |
| 11 | you read Ms. Pulido-Crowe's deposition | 11 | Line 7: "Who did you have |
| 12 | transcript? | 12 | those discussions with?" |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | "Answer: I don't recall. I |
| 14 | Q. Did you rely on that in any way? | 14 | can recall conversations. I don't remember |
| 15 | A. I did. | 15 | who was actually there, but I recall |
| 16 | Q. Why? | 16 | conversations." |
| 17 | A. Because I think it was | 17 | Did you review this? |
| 18 | demonstrative of the fact that there was an | 18 | A. I did. |
| 19 | ongoing process of the underwriters in the | 19 | Q. Did you rely on it? |
| 20 | company talking about this and other issues. | 20 | MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. |
| 21 | Q. And I may have misinterpreted | 21 | Vague. Overbroad. |
| 22 | some of Mr. Lewis's questions earlier, but I | 22 | THE WITNESS: I did rely on it. |
| 23 | couldn't help get the impression from some of | 23 | BY MR. GLUCKOW: |
| 24 | the questions that he was asking that the | 24 | Q. Why? |
| 25 | impression that he was leaving on me anyway was | 25 | A. Again, it was evidence of this |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Page 179 | | Page 181 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the |
| 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence |
| 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. |
| 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn |
| 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the company's marketing people and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" The answer is, on Line 16: "I |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the company's marketing people and the underwriters. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" The answer is, on Line 16: "I believe it was prior, yes." |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the company's marketing people and the underwriters. BY MR. GLUCKOW: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" The answer is, on Line 16: "I believe it was prior, yes." 17. "Who did you discuss this |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the company's marketing people and the underwriters. BY MR. GLUCKOW: Q. Let me turn your attention to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" The answer is, on Line 16: "I believe it was prior, yes." 17. "Who did you discuss this with?" |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III that there was only one discussion between Ms. Pulido-Crowe and Mr. Adams and that that one discussion happened in April or May of '98. Is that impression that I had in my mind consistent with your review of the materials in this matter? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I MR. LEWIS: You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know who has to tell me this in this case. Absolutely not. The one thing that is clear to me throughout, and this demonstration this deposition is sort of important to that, is that there was, as there normally should be in these cases, a significant number of amount of interaction between the company's marketing people and the underwriters. BY MR. GLUCKOW: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III ongoing discussion between the company and the underwriters as part of the due diligence process. Q. Let me actually have you turn back to Page 77, the prior page. I should have started here; I apologize. On Page 76, Exhibit 163 is marked and then there's a question which is the press release concerning the Costco action, which Mr. Lewis asked you about, and on top of Page 77, the question is: "Do you recall whether you saw it," meaning this press release, "prior to the IPO?" And the answer is: "Yes." Skipping down to Line 14, the question is: "Did you discuss the litigation with anyone at Adams prior to them filing suit?" The answer is, on Line 16: "I believe it was prior, yes." 17. "Who did you discuss this |

| Γ | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 182 | | Page 184 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | of senior management at the company and/or our | 2 | therefore, like many other issues, it just |
| 3 | counsel, underwriters' counsel or their | 3 | wasn't part of the conversation. |
| 4 | counsel. It was discussed." | 4 | MR. GLUCKOW: I have no further |
| 5 | Do you see what I've just read | 5 | questions at this time. |
| 6 | there? | 6 | MS. MORIATY: I've got just a |
| 7 | A. I do. | 7 | few. |
| 8 | Q. Did you read that as part of | 8 | THE WITNESS: Sure. |
| 9 | your evaluation of this matter? | 9 | |
| 10 | A. I did. | 10 | EXAMINATION |
| 11 | Q. Did you rely on what I've just | 11 | |
| 12 | read? | 12 | BY MS. MORIATY: |
| 13 | MR. LEWIS: Objection. | 13 | Q. I want to turn everybody back to |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: I did. | 14 | Exhibit I think it's 327 which was the exhibit |
| 15 | BY MR. GLUCKOW: | 15 | to Mr. Grace's report. |
| 16 | Q. Please explain how and why. | 16 | MR. GLUCKOW: Here it is. |
| 17 | A. I relied on it because, again, I | 17 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 18 | was one of the things I was trying to | 18 | BY MS. MORIATY: |
| 19 | investigate as part of my project was, you know, | 19 | Q. Well done, okay. |
| 20 | were the underwriters thorough and consistent in | 20 | During your research for your |
| 21 | trying to discuss, you know, these the | 21 | report in this case, did you learn how many |
| 22 | marketing issues, the distribution issues, legal | 22 | retailers Adams Golf had in 1998? |
| 23 | issues, with the company, and this is another | 23 | A. The number 7,000 sticks in my |
| 24 | evidence that they did and that they followed up | 24 | mind. |
| 25 | on it. | 25 | Q. Do you know how many phone calls |
| 1 | | | |
| | Paga 192 | | Page 185 |
| | Page 183 | | Page 185 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 1 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents | 2 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total |
| 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be | 2 3 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? |
| 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee | 2 3 4 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. |
| 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence | 2 3 4 5 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I |
| 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the | 2 3 4 5 6 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise me at all. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever impression you had during your research, based |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise me at all. BY MR. GLUCKOW: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever impression you had during your research, based on that. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise me at all. BY MR. GLUCKOW: Q. Why? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever impression you had during your research, based on that. So I'm going to look at Exhibit |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise me at all. BY MR. GLUCKOW: Q. Why? A. Because the underwriters had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever impression you had during your research, based on that. So I'm going to look at Exhibit 327. And we discussed earlier the complaints |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Q. There are a number of documents that Mr. Lewis showed you examples would be the Lehman Brothers Commitment Committee memorandum, Exhibit 74; the due diligence memorandum, Exhibit 160, also marked as 198; the notes of the bringdown diligence discussion, Exhibit 159 where the questioning pointed out that there was no reference in those documents and other documents to gray marketing or Costco. Do you recall that line of questioning? A. I do. Q. Did it surprise you in any way that there was no reference to gray marketing or Costco in those documents? MR. LEWIS: Objection. Vague and overbroad. THE WITNESS: It didn't surprise me at all. BY MR. GLUCKOW: Q. Why? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III Adams Golf received to its call center in total between about January 1st and July 8 of 1998? MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: A thousand I BY MS. MORIATY: Q. Did you read this information in the course of your research for this report? A. Yes. MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. BY MS. MORIATY: Q. I'm going to represent to you that you just told me it was 7,000 retailers. A. Right. Q. I'm going to represent to you that they had 12,885 calls to this call center. You can believe that or not. Based on whatever impression you had during your research, based on that. So I'm going to look at Exhibit |

| | | l | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 186 | | Page 188 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | retailers complaining between the beginning of | 2 | I think it would not be a significant |
| 3 | this chart and the IPO the beginning of | 3 | issue. |
| 4 | this chart starts February 16th, '98; and | 4 | BY MS. MORIATY: |
| 5 | there are nine calls that come into the call | 5 | Q. And if you heard that nine calls |
| 6 | center. | 6 | out of more than 12,000 were regarding a certain |
| 7 | So with all of your experience | 7 | issue, would you have thought that issue |
| 8 | working as an underwriter in various IPOs, and | 8 | significant enough to investigate further or put |
| 9 | in light of the information in Exhibit 327 and | 9 | as a risk factor? |
| 10 | the number of retailers 7,000 and calls | 10 | MR. LEWIS: Objection to form and |
| 11 | to the call center upwards of 12,000 do | 11 | foundation. |
| 12 | you think gray marketing should have been | 12 | THE WITNESS: It's hard to, you |
| 13 | listed as a risk factor in the Adams Golf | 13 | know no, the answer is no, the |
| 14 | prospectus? | 14 | numbers are simply too small, putting |
| 15 | MR. LEWIS: Objection to form and | 15 | myself in that spot. |
| 16 | foundation. | 16 | MS. MORIATY: Thanks. No further |
| 17 | MR. GLUCKOW: I'll just | 17 | questions here. |
| 18 | MR. LEWIS: Beyond the scope of | 18 | w w w |
| 19 | his purpose in this litigation | 19 | EXAMINATION |
| 20 | according to his testimony. | 20 | |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Should I answer? | 21 | BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 22 | MR. LEWIS: You may answer, if | 22 | Q. Mr. Necarsulmer, can you say |
| 23 | you can. | 23 | that as someone who is overseeing due diligence |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I mean, it seems to | 24 | investigations in the past if you had |
| 25 | me, again, as I just answered | 25 | Mr. Grace's report before you at the time of the |
| | D 100 | | D. 100 |
| | Page 187 | | Page 189 |
| l | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III |
| 2 | Mr. Gluckow, they made a determination | 2 | IP just before the IPO was effective and saw |
| 3 | that while they knew of the issue, that | 3 | that Adams' clubs were appearing in Costco |
| 4 | it simply wasn't didn't rise to the | 4 | warehouses in diverse locations in the country, |
| 5 | level of, and I would rather use the | 5 | that that was something you wouldn't have asked |
| 6 | word significant than material because | 6 | some questions about? |
| 7 | I think material actually has a legal | 7 | MR. GLUCKOW: Objection to form. |
| 8 | connotation, also. So, therefore, like | 8 | Incomplete hypothetical. |
| 9 | many other issues, they made the | 9 | Mischaracterizes the record. |
| 10 | determination, the underwriters made | 10 | You can answer. |
| 11 | the determination, that there was no | 11 | THE WITNESS: I mean, you know, |
| 12 | benefit, no reason, you know, to take | 12 | it's very hard to look back, but I |
| 13 | it any further. And that's a judgment | 13 | again think that I again think that |
| 14 | you make when you are an underwriter, | 14 | you the judgment I keep going |
| 15 | when you do due diligence. There are | 15 | back the totality of this, it is my |
| 16 | lots of factors to consider; you just | 16 | opinion, and I certainly believe it, |
| 17 | you make these decisions. BY MS. MORIATY: | 17 | that they made an informed given all |
| 18 | | 18 | the facts that they knew, they made a |
| 19 | Q. If you as an underwriter heard | 19 | reasonable judgment that it was not |
| 20 21 | that 12 retailers out of 7,000 complained, would | 20 | did not rise to the level of being a |
| 22 | you think that this is a significant issue? | 21 22 | risk factor. BY MR. LEWIS: |
| 23 | MR. LEWIS: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I would think that | 23 | |
| 23 24 | it would be less than I would have | 23 24 | Q. The underwriters could have kept the offering from going forward any time up to |
| L 4 | | | |
| 25 | guessed the normal sample would be, so | 25 | the effective date, could they not, if they were |

| | Case 1.33 ev 00071 Civio Document c | ר ו כו | 1 11cd 10/10/2000 1 age 20 01 20 |
|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Page 190 | | Page 1 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | |
| 2 | not satisfied as to that all proper | 2 | CERTIFICATE |
| 3 | disclosures were being made? | 3 | I, Pamela Harrison, a Notary |
| 4 | MR. GLUCKOW: Incomplete | 5 | Public, do hereby certify: That EDWARD NECARSULMER, the |
| 5 | hypothetical. Assumes facts not in | 6 | witness whose testimony is hereinbefore set |
| 6 | evidence. | 7 | forth, was duly sworn by me and that such |
| 7 | You can answer. | 8 | testimony given by the witness was taken down |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Sure; you can even | 9 | stenographically by me and then transcribed. |
| 9 | do it after the effective date. | 10 11 | I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this |
| 10 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 12 | action by blood or marriage, and that I am in |
| 11 | Q. How late after the effective | 13 | no way interested in the outcome of this |
| 12 | date can you do it? | 14 | matter. |
| 13 | MR. GLUCKOW: It calls for a | 15 | |
| 14 | legal conclusion. | 16 17 | Pamela Harrison |
| 15 | BY MR. LEWIS: | 177 | Registered Merit Reporter |
| 16 | Q. In your understanding, to the | 18 | Certified Realtime Reporter |
| 17 | best of your knowledge, how late after the | | CSR-NJ # 30XI00221600 |
| 18 | effective date can you stop an underwriting? | 19 | Notary Public |
| 19 | MR. GLUCKOW: The same | 20 | Date: August 8, 2006 |
| 20 | objections. | 20 21 | (The foregoing certification of |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I don't remember | - ' | this transcript does not apply to any |
| 22 | I don't know if it's 30 or 40. There's | 22 | reproduction of the same by any means, unless |
| 23 | a number, I just don't know what it is, | | under the direct control and/or supervision of |
| 24 | or I don't remember what it is, and it | 23 | the certifying shorthand |
| 25 | may have changed since I was actively | 24 | reporter.) |
| | may have changed since I was actively | 24 | |
| | Page 191 | | Page 1 |
| 1 | EDWARD NECARSULMER, III | 1 | |
| 2 | doing this. I thought there was some | 2 | INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS |
| 3 | sort of I don't remember. The | 3 | Please read your deposition |
| 4 | answer is I don't remember whether it's | 4 | over carefully and make any necessary |
| 5 | 30 days or 45 days or whether it's even | 5 | corrections. You should state the reason in |
| 6 | a specific number any longer, but | 6 | the appropriate space on the errata sheet for |
| 7 | MR. LEWIS: Nothing further. | 7 | any corrections that are made. |
| 8 | MR. GLUCKOW: Nothing further. | 8 | After doing so, please sign the |
| 9 | MS. MORIATY: No. Good. | 9 | errata sheet and date it. |
| 10 | | 10 | |
| | MR. GLUCKOW: Thank you very | | You are signing same subject to |
| 11 | much. | 11 | the changes you have noted on the errata sheet, |
| 12 | (Deposition concluded at | 12 | which will be attached to your deposition. |
| 13 | 4:26 p.m.) | 13 | It is imperative that you return |
| 14 | -O- | 14 | the original errata sheet to the deposing |
| 15 | | 15 | attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of |
| 16 | | 16 | the deposition transcript by you. If you fail |
| 17 | | 17 | to do so, the deposition transcript may be |
| 18 | | 18 | deemed to be accurate and may be used in court. |
| 19 | | 19 | |
| 20 | | 20 | |
| 21 | | 21 | |
| | | | |
| 22 | | 22 | |
| 22 23 | | 23 | |
| | | 1 | |

| | | Page 194 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| l 2 3 | E R R A T A | |
| | PAGE LINE CHANGE | |
| | | |
|) 2 | | |
| 3 4 5 | | |
| 6 7 8 | | |
| 9 0 1 | | |
| .2 .3 .4 .5 | | |
| | | Page 195 |
| | ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPON | NENT |
| | I,, hereby certify that I have read the foregoing pages | |
| 5 7 8 | to and that the same is a correct transcription of the answers given by me to the questions therein propounded, | |
| 9 0 1 2 | except for the corrections or changes in form or substance, if any, noted in the attached Errata Sheet. | |
| | DATE SIGNATURE | |
| | Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of | |
| }) | My commission expires: | |
| | Notary Public | |